Role of VAC Dressing in the Large Open Fracture: A Single Center Prospective Study from Indore
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ABSTRACT

Background: As the body's protective skin barrier is breached in open fractures, and the potential of contamination is high, these can lead to significant morbidity. Vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) is the application of the negative pressure in open wounds, and it significantly improves wound healing both at the macro and micro levels.

Material and Method: A total of 30 patients (23 males and 7 females with a mean age of 27 years) with large open fractures of long bones who received VAC therapy during the early period of treatment were studied. VAC dressing over wound was done following percutaneous pinning or external fixation. In addition, rates of infection, fracture union, duration of application of VAC, and the association of duration of VAC with the development of complications were evaluated.

Results: The mean time between the trauma and the first debridement was 8.20 hours (range, 2–23). The mean duration of VAC application was ten days (range, 3–16). Delayed wound closure was performed in nine patients. In the remaining 21 patients, the mean decrease in the wound dimensions between pre and post-VAC application was found to be 43.06% (range, 20–60%).

Conclusion: VAC application increases the patient's comfort by reducing the need for dressing changes, improves blood circulation, accelerates the formation of granulation tissue, reduces the rate of infection from the hospital environment, decreases edema, alleviates the need for secondary interventions, and also decreases wound dimensions.
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INTRODUCTION

Open fractures account for one of the most common problems encountered in orthopedic practice. They are frequently associated with osteomyelitis and an increased rate of deep infections. The infection rate demonstrated in many studies was found to be 16-66% in open fractures.¹-⁸

As the body's protective skin barrier is breached in open fractures, and the potential of contamination is high, these can lead to significant morbidity. The treating surgeon must consider the following: the patient's condition, the mechanism of injury, and the fracture type. Each fracture should be treated differently depending upon the above-mentioned factors.⁹

Vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) is the application of the negative pressure in open wounds, and it significantly improves wound healing both at the macro and micro levels.¹⁰ Advantages of VAC include, it completely isolates the wound that decreases the risk of secondary contamination from the environment and secondly, it reduces edema from the limb. Removal of edema improves capillary blood flow, which in turn increases the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to the wound.¹¹-¹³

VAC also limits the proliferation of bacteria because of capillary in growth into the wound. This provides the oxygen and
immune cells that antagonize bacterial growth. Evidence of the usefulness of VAC in the Indian population is limited. Hence, in the present study, we tried to elucidate the effect of VAC dressing in a large open fracture.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
A total of 30 patients (23 males and 7 females with a mean age of 27 years) with large open fractures of long bones who received VAC therapy during the early period of treatment were studied. Patients with diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, and immune-compromised conditions were excluded from the study.

The mean duration of the follow-up and hospitalization were 26.57±15.25 months (range, 9–52 months) and 31.51±21.46 days (range, 8–75 days), respectively. Patients were evaluated in terms of soft tissue and bone defects, periosteal stripping, major vascular injury, compartment syndrome, and contamination at the emergency service. For the patients who were considered to have a vascular injury, a computed tomography (CT) angiography was performed. Twenty-three patients had lower extremity, and seven patients had upper extremity injuries. Fractures were classified as per the Gustilo-Anderson classification. Bone and soft tissues that had lost vitality were debrided and irrigated. VAC dressing over wound was done following percutaneous pinning or external fixation. All patients underwent a thorough intraoperative debridement with stabilization of the fractures, commonly with an external fixator.

For antibiotic prophylaxis, Ceftriaxone 1 g was administered every eight hours, and gentamicin 80 mg was applied for 7 days every 12 hours. In cases that developed an infection, antibiotic treatment was adjusted according to the culture and sensitivity results. Following the last VAC application, wound dimensions were evaluated using the wound dimensions In addition, rates of infection, fracture union, duration of application of VAC, and the association of duration of VAC with the development of complications were evaluated.

Statistical analysis using SPSS for Windows 20.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) program was performed. Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney U test were used in the evaluation of parametric and nonparametric variables, respectively. Categorical data were compared using a chi-square test. P <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS
The mean time between the trauma and the first debridement was 8.20 hours (range, 2–23). Osteosynthesis was obtained with an external fixator (n=19), percutaneous pinning (n=5), EF+ percutaneous pinning (n=5), and conservative treatment (n=6). Meantime to union was 5.03±1.58 months (range, 3–8 months). The mean duration of VAC application was ten days (range, 3–16). Delayed wound closure was performed in nine patients. In the remaining 21 patients, the mean decrease in the wound dimensions between pre and post-VAC application was found to be 43.06% (range, 20–60%).

A deep infection developed in eight (38.1%) out of twenty-one patients who underwent secondary closure, of whom three patients (14.3%) developed osteomyelitis. Statistically, a significant difference was found between the primary and secondary closure in terms of deep infection (p=0.028). Out of seven patients, none of the patients who underwent delayed primary closure developed an infection. The microbes that caused infection were pseudomonas aeruginosa, staphylococcus haemolyticus, acinetobacter baumannii, and klebsiella pneumoniae. The infection was treated through antibiotherapy and debridement in those patients. However, one patient developed osteomyelitis and treated surgically. No statistically significant association found between dimensions of the first wound and development of
infection (p=NS). No association was found between the trauma type and the development of infection (p=NS). Union was achieved in the 20th month in two patients.

Table 1: Comparison of Closure Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Delayed primary closure (n=9)</th>
<th>Secondary closure (n=21)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year±sd)</td>
<td>33.73 ± 5.90</td>
<td>25.92 ± 4.21</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between trauma-initial debridement (hour±sd)</td>
<td>3.84 ± 0.64</td>
<td>9.72 ± 2.15</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of VAC (day±sd)</td>
<td>6.73 ± 0.70</td>
<td>9.67 ± 1.56</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of union (month±sd)</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.43</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial wound dimensions (cm²)(range)</td>
<td>119.11 (20-450)</td>
<td>277.43 (48-275)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage (%) (range)</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>44.80 (20-60)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of patients by development of infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No infection (n=22)</th>
<th>With infection (n=8)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>27.81 ± 3.52</td>
<td>34.21 ± 10.92</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between trauma initial debridement (hour±sd)</td>
<td>8.90 ± 1.61</td>
<td>6.33 ± 2.96</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of VAC (day±sd)</td>
<td>8.79 ± 1.19</td>
<td>7.78 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of union (month±sd)</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.29</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.88</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial wound dimensions (cm²)(range)</td>
<td>188.90 (20-750)</td>
<td>333.21 (80-875)</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The treatment of large open fractures is determined by the degree of soft tissue injury. The major concern of surgeons in large open fractures is the high risk of infection if a wound cannot be closed primarily. 15 Removal of the tissues is the best way to reduce the risk of infection in open wounds. In such types of open wounds, VAC dressing can be easily applied. 16 In addition, VAC also prevents contamination by microorganisms of hospital origin to open wounds. Studies have shown that most of the pathogens infecting open wounds are derived from the hospital environment. 17

The goals of wound healing are to minimize blood loss and to restore a newly formed, intact epithelial barrier as soon as possible. Factors that affect wound healing are the availability of vascular supply and the rate of neovascularization. 18

Hou et al. showed that the duration of wound healing in VAC was decreased with Type III open tibial fracture. 19 The mean duration of VAC dressing in patients with and without the development of infection in this present study was 7.78 ± 0.42 days and 8.79±1.19 days, respectively. Ulusal et al. showed a significant reduction in the wound dimensions with the use of VAC. 20

In this study, we have found that VAC decreases the size of wounds that are difficult to close, and it provided negative pressure in wounds that also reduced the chances of infection from the hospital environment. We observed that VAC also decreased wound dimensions. Our study showed that vascularisation and the rate of granulation tissue formation were increased by VAC. This led to faster wound healing and average healthier wound conditions. The mean decrease in the wound dimensions was found to be 43.06% between pre and post-VAC applications. This suggests that VAC is also helping to reduce wound dimensions. As compared to daily dressing in conventional therapy, the number of dressing changes reduced due to every 48 hours changing of VAC. This led to better patient compliance and reduced the patient’s inconvenience.

CONCLUSION

VAC application increases the patient's comfort by reducing the need for dressing changes, improves blood circulation, accelerates the formation of granulation tissue, reduces the rate of infection from the hospital environment, decreases edema, alleviates the need for secondary interventions, and also decreases wound dimensions.
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