## **Interleukin-8: A Potential Marker for Differentiating Papillary Thyroid Cancer**

Toral Kobawala<sup>1</sup>, Kinjal Gajjar<sup>1</sup>, Nandita Ghosh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Assistant, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor and Head, Tumor Biology Lab, Department of Cancer Biology, The Gujarat Cancer & Research Institute, Asarwa, Ahmedabad-380016.

Corresponding Author: Nandita Ghosh

#### ABSTRACT

Thyroid **Background:** disorders represent relatively conditions common medical worldwide. Although better managed, it is often difficult to distinguish benign and thyroid cancer cells. To avoid inappropriate treatment decisions based on unconvincing results, it is inevitable to understand molecular mechanisms underlying thyroid carcinogenesis. Cytokines have a key role in an intricate relationship between inflammation and cancer. IL-8 is one such potent inflammatory mediator recognized proliferation, invasion modulate and to migration of tumor cells. Hence it was aimed to study expression of IL-8 in benign and thyroid cancer patients.

**Methods:** Circulating IL-8 levels (by ELISA) and tumoral IL-8 expression (by Immunohistochemistry) was studied in total 240 subjects: 67 healthy individuals, 67 benign thyroid diseases patients and 106 thyroid cancer patients with majority being diagnosed as papillary thyroid cancer (PTC: N=83).

**Results:** Circulating IL-8 was significantly elevated in benign and thyroid cancer as compared to controls. Both circulating and tumoral IL-8 expression were significantly higher in PTC as compared to benign thyroid diseases. Moreover, IL-8 expression was significantly associated with aggressive tumor characteristics of PTC patients.

**Conclusion:** IL-8 could be a potential marker for differentiating patients with benign thyroid diseases and cancer. IL-8 overexpression was not suppressed by Suppressors of cytokine signalling (SOCS) proteins and may induce expression of adhesion molecules: VCAM-1 and L-Selectin and thereby increase thyroid cancer progression. Further, significant association of IL-8 expression with shorter overall survival in PTC patients treated with surgery alone, suggests that conventional treatment strategies may be improved by additionally targeting IL-8 signalling in such patients.

*Key words:* Thyroid cancer, IL-8, SOCS, Immunohistochemistry, ELISA, Benign thyroid diseases

#### **INTRODUCTION**

'Thyroid'- an endocrine gland plays important role in regulating metabolism and helps maintain body temperature, heart rate and blood pressure. Thus, any problem that occurs in the thyroid gland, affects every cell of the body. Thyroid disorders represent relatively common medical conditions ranging from benign goitre to carcinoma. Although its incidence is lower, thyroid cancer represents the most frequent endocrine malignancy. Despite the fact that majority of patients with thyroid diseases are better managed, pathologists often find it difficult to distinguish benign and cancer cells. This may result in unconvincing treatment decisions by clinicians. Hence, it is important to decipher the molecular mechanisms underlying thyroid tumorigenesis, to avoid excessive treatment to the patients with indolent/low risk tumors and at the same time, guarantee effective management to the patients with aggressive disease.

Inflammation representing one of the hallmarks of cancer <sup>[1]</sup> includes the existence of inflammatory mediators-

cytokines. Shedding of cytokines by tumor cells into the local microenvironment is a key modulator of tumorigenesis. Overall, there is an intricate relationship between the immune system and cancer where cytokines significant role.<sup>[2]</sup> Cytokines have a modulate an antitumoral response in the tumor microenvironment, but during chronic inflammation, they can induce malignant cell transformation based on balance of pro- and anti- inflammatory type.

IL-8 is a cytokine recognized as potent neutrophil activator and chemotactic factor secreted by activated monocytes, macrophages, fibroblasts, lymphocytes, neutrophils, endothelial cells and a variety of normal and malignant epithelial cells.<sup>[3, 4]</sup> The increased secretion of IL-8 from tumor cells can have profound effect on the tumor microenvironment. IL-8- CXCR1/2 (IL-8 receptors) signalling mediates tumorigenesis and tumor progression that leads to subsequent activation of various pathways.<sup>[5, 6]</sup> The IL-8 signalling nexus directly influences the sensitivity of tumour chemotherapies cells to by altering pathways associated with apoptosis and multidrug resistance.<sup>[6]</sup>

Increased circulating IL-8 levels and its correlation with tumor burden and prognosis have been observed in patients with various malignancies.<sup>[7, 8]</sup> Moreover, statistically significant differences in IL-8 levels in patients with thyroid disease and normal reference group have also been demonstrated.[9-12] То date, immense research has been done to identify the role of IL-8 signalling in human cancers. Given that high expression of IL-8 is associated with tumorigenesis and progression of types of tumours, this study certain hypothesized that IL-8 may serve as useful biomarker in screening and evaluating prognosis in thyroid cancer patients as well. Hence it was aimed to study its expression in benign and thyroid cancer patients and thereby explore its role as a potential marker for differentiation and prognosis in thyroid carcinoma patients.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS** Subjects

Total 240 subjects were included, of which 67 were healthy individuals, 67 were patients with benign thyroid diseases and 106 were thyroid cancer patients (PTC: N=83, follicular thyroid cancer (FTC): N=6, medullary thyroid cancer (MTC): N=9 and anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC): N=8).<sup>[13-16]</sup> None of the subjects had any history of autoimmune disease, did not receive any pre-treatment and they were not on any immunosuppressive or immunomodulant drugs. Only 45/67 patients with benign thyroid diseases that were suspicious to be malignant were operated at our institute.

This study was approved by Institutional Scientific and Ethical Committees and informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to sample collection. Except 4/8 ATC patients who were not resectable; all thyroid cancer patients underwent surgery at Department of Surgical Oncology of our institute. Only PTC patients were further considered for the correlation analysis (the number of patients with other three types of thyroid cancer were very low for comparative statistical analysis). Treatment strategies were decided by the clinicians of the institute. It included either surgery or surgery followed bv radioiodine ablation (RIA) therapy or surgery followed by RIA therapy and radiotherapy both. Clinical and histopathological details of the patients were noted from the case files maintained at the Medical record department of the institute. Histological classification of the tumors was in accordance with the WHO classification. The PTC patients were staged according to the AJCC/UICC TNM staging system and were accordingly grouped into younger (<45 years) and elder ( $\geq$ 45 years) age groups. Clinicopathological characteristics of PTC patients are depicted in Table 1.<sup>[13-</sup> <sup>16]</sup> Follow up details of PTC patients were noted for a period of 4 years or until death within that period. Complete follow-up details were obtained in 92% (76/83) of PTC patients and hence were included for

overall survival (OS) analysis. Nine percent (7/76) of these patients had persistent disease and thus were excluded from disease

free survival (DFS) analysis. Hence, 69/76 PTC patients were included for DFS analysis.

AgeBilaterality<45 years41 (49)Unilateral61 (74)≥45 years42 (51)Bilateral22 (26)GenderHaemorrhagic areaFemale56 (68)Absent72 (87)Male27 (32)Present11 (13)Tumour sizeNecrosisT1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)38 (46)Absent67 (81)T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)45 (54)Present16 (19)Nodal statusCalcificationAbsent30 (36)Absent32 (39)Present30 (36)Absent51 (61)MetastasisExtrathyroidal extension51 (61)MetastasisExtrathyroidal extension51 (61)MetastasisFibrosis52 (63)Present10 (12)Present31 (37)StageFibrosis52 (63)Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]49 (59)Absent61 (74)Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]34 (41)Present22 (26)Lymphatic permeationInflammation46 (55)Present16 (19)Present37 (45)Vascular permeationInflammation37 (45)Absent74 (89)Well76 (92)
<45 years41 (49)Unilateral61 (74)≥45 years42 (51)Bilateral22 (26)GenderHaemorrhagic areaFemale56 (68)Absent72 (87)Male27 (32)Present11 (13)Tumour sizeNecrosisT1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)38 (46)Absent67 (81)T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)45 (54)Present16 (19)Nodal statusCalcificationAbsent30 (36)Absent32 (39)Present30 (36)Absent51 (61)MetastasisExtrathyroidal extension51 (61)MetastasisExtrathyroidal extension51 (61)MetastasisFibrosis52 (63)Present10 (12)Present31 (37)StageFibrosis52 (63)Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]49 (59)Absent61 (74)Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]34 (41)Present22 (26)Lymphatic permeationInflammation46 (55)Present16 (19)Present37 (45)Vascular permeationInflammation37 (45)Absent74 (89)Well76 (92)
≥45 years    42 (51)    Bilateral    22 (26)      Gender    Haemorrhagic area      Female    56 (68)    Absent    72 (87)      Male    27 (32)    Present    11 (13)      Tumour size    Necrosis    11 (13)      T1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)    38 (46)    Absent    67 (81)      T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)    45 (54)    Present    16 (19)      Nodal status    Calcification    32 (39)      Present    30 (36)    Absent    32 (39)      Present    53 (64)    Present    51 (61)      Metastasis    Extrathyroidal extension    52 (63)      Present    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    10 (12)    Present    31 (37)      Stage    Fibrosis    Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]    49 (59)    Absent    61 (74)      Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]    34 (41)    Present    22 (26)      Lymphatic permeation    Inflammation    37 (45)      Absent    67 (81)    Absent    46
Gender      Haemorrhagic area        Female      56 (68)      Absent      72 (87)        Male      27 (32)      Present      11 (13)        Tumour size      Necrosis      11 (13)        T1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)      38 (46)      Absent      67 (81)        T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)      45 (54)      Present      16 (19)        Nodal status      Calcification      32 (39)        Present      53 (64)      Present      51 (61)        Absent      30 (36)      Absent      32 (39)        Present      51 (61)      Present      51 (61)        Metastasis      Extrathyroidal extension      52 (63)        Absent      73 (88)      Absent      52 (63)        Present      10 (12)      Present      31 (37)        Stage      Fibrosis      52 (63)      52 (63)        Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]      49 (59)      Absent      61 (74)        Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]      34 (41)      Present      22 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      Inflammation      22 (26)      53 (45)
Female    56 (68)    Absent    72 (87)      Male    27 (32)    Present    11 (13)      Tumour size    Necrosis    11 (13)      T1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)    38 (46)    Absent    67 (81)      T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)    45 (54)    Present    16 (19)      Nodal status    Calcification    32 (39)      Present    53 (64)    Present    51 (61)      Metastasis    Extrathyroidal extension    51 (61)      Metastasis    Extrathyroidal extension    52 (63)      Present    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    10 (12)    Present    31 (37)      Stage    Fibrosis    52 (63)    13 (37)      Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]    49 (59)    Absent    61 (74)      Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]    34 (41)    Present    22 (26)      Lymphatic permeation    Inflammation    37 (45)    37 (45)      Present    16 (19)    Present    37 (45)      Vascular permeation    Inflerentiation
Male    27 (32)    Present    11 (13)      Tumour size    Necrosis      T1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)    38 (46)    Absent    67 (81)      T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)    45 (54)    Present    16 (19)      Nodal status    Calcification      Absent    30 (36)    Absent    32 (39)      Present    53 (64)    Present    51 (61)      Metastasis    Extrathyroidal extension      Absent    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    10 (12)    Present    31 (37)      Stage    Fibrosis    Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]    49 (59)    Absent    61 (74)      Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]    34 (41)    Present    22 (26)      Lymphatic permeation    Inflammation    37 (45)      Absent    67 (81)    Absent    46 (55)      Present    16 (19)    Present    37 (45)
Tumour size      Necrosis        T1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)      38 (46)      Absent      67 (81)        T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)      45 (54)      Present      16 (19)        Nodal status      Calcification      32 (39)        Present      53 (64)      Present      51 (61)        Metastasis      Extrathyroidal extension      51 (61)        Metastasis      Extrathyroidal extension      31 (37)        Stage      Fibrosis      31 (37)        Stage      Fibrosis      52 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      34 (41)      Present      22 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      Inflammation      22 (26)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)        Absent      67 (81)      Absent      46 (55)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)
T1 (N=16)+T2 (N=22)    38 (46)    Absent    67 (81)      T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)    45 (54)    Present    16 (19)      Nodal status    Calcification      Absent    30 (36)    Absent    32 (39)      Present    53 (64)    Present    51 (61)      Metastasis    Extrathyroidal extension      Absent    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    10 (12)    Present    31 (37)      Stage    Fibrosis    52 (63)      Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]    49 (59)    Absent    61 (74)      Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]    34 (41)    Present    22 (26)      Lymphatic permeation    Inflammation    22 (26)    16 (19)      Present    16 (19)    Present    37 (45)      Vascular permeation    Inflammation    37 (45)      Vascular permeation    74 (89)    Well    76 (92)
T3 (N=30)+T4 (N=15)    45 (54)    Present    16 (19)      Nodal status    Calcification      Absent    30 (36)    Absent    32 (39)      Present    53 (64)    Present    51 (61)      Metastasis    Extrathyroidal extension      Absent    73 (88)    Absent    52 (63)      Present    10 (12)    Present    31 (37)      Stage    Fibrosis    Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]    49 (59)    Absent    61 (74)      Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]    34 (41)    Present    22 (26)      Lymphatic permeation    Inflammation    46 (55)    97 (81)    Absent    46 (55)      Present    16 (19)    Present    37 (45)      Vascular permeation    174 (89)    Well    76 (92)
Nodal statusCalcificationAbsent $30 (36)$ Absent $32 (39)$ Present $53 (64)$ Present $51 (61)$ MetastasisExtrathyroidal extensionAbsent $73 (88)$ Absent $52 (63)$ Present $10 (12)$ Present $31 (37)$ StageFibrosisEarly [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)] $49 (59)$ Absent $61 (74)$ Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ $34 (41)$ Present $22 (26)$ Lymphatic permeationInflammationAbsent $67 (81)$ Absent $46 (55)$ Present $16 (19)$ Present $37 (45)$ Vascular permeation $74 (89)$ Well $76 (92)$
Absent  30 (36)  Absent  32 (39)    Present  53 (64)  Present  51 (61)    Metastasis  Extrathyroidal extension    Absent  73 (88)  Absent  52 (63)    Present  10 (12)  Present  31 (37)    Stage  Fibrosis    Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]  49 (59)  Absent  61 (74)    Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]  34 (41)  Present  22 (26)    Lymphatic permeation  Inflammation    Absent  67 (81)  Absent  46 (55)    Present  16 (19)  Present  37 (45)    Vascular permeation  Inflerentiation  Absent    Absent  74 (89)  Well  76 (92)
Present      53 (64)      Present      51 (61)        Metastasis      Extrathyroidal extension        Absent      73 (88)      Absent      52 (63)        Present      10 (12)      Present      31 (37)        Stage      Fibrosis      52 (263)        Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]      49 (59)      Absent      61 (74)        Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]      34 (41)      Present      22 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      Inflammation      46 (55)      37 (45)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)        Vascular permeation      174 (89)      Well      76 (92)
Metastasis      Extrathyroidal extension        Absent      73 (88)      Absent      52 (63)        Present      10 (12)      Present      31 (37)        Stage      Fibrosis      52 (20)        Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]      49 (59)      Absent      61 (74)        Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]      34 (41)      Present      22 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      Inflammation      46 (55)      Present        Absent      67 (81)      Absent      46 (55)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)        Vascular permeation      Differentiation      Jacobi (20)
Absent  73 (88)  Absent  52 (63)    Present  10 (12)  Present  31 (37)    Stage  Fibrosis    Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]  49 (59)  Absent  61 (74)    Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]  34 (41)  Present  22 (26)    Lymphatic permeation  Inflammation    Absent  67 (81)  Absent  46 (55)    Present  16 (19)  Present  37 (45)    Vascular permeation  Differentiation  Join for (20)
Present      10 (12)      Present      31 (37)        Stage      Fibrosis        Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]      49 (59)      Absent      61 (74)        Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]      34 (41)      Present      22 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      Inflammation        Absent      67 (81)      Absent      46 (55)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)        Vascular permeation      Differentiation      Absent        Absent      74 (89)      Well      76 (92)
Stage      Fibrosis        Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]      49 (59)      Absent      61 (74)        Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]      34 (41)      Present      22 (26)        Lymphatic permeation      Inflammation      46 (55)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)        Vascular permeation      Differentiation      46 (92)
Early [Stage I (N=37) + Stage II (N=12)]    49 (59)    Absent    61 (74)      Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]    34 (41)    Present    22 (26)      Lymphatic permeation    Inflammation      Absent    67 (81)    Absent    46 (55)      Present    16 (19)    Present    37 (45)      Vascular permeation    Differentiation    Absent    76 (92)
Advanced [Stage III (N=11)+ Stage IV(N=23)]  34 (41)  Present  22 (26)    Lymphatic permeation  Inflammation    Absent  67 (81)  Absent  46 (55)    Present  16 (19)  Present  37 (45)    Vascular permeation  Differentiation    Absent  74 (89)  Well  76 (92)
Lymphatic permeationInflammationAbsent67 (81)Absent46 (55)Present16 (19)Present37 (45)Vascular permeationDifferentiationAbsent74 (89)Well76 (92)
Absent      67 (81)      Absent      46 (55)        Present      16 (19)      Present      37 (45)        Vascular permeation      Differentiation      74 (89)      Well      76 (92)
Present  16 (19)  Present  37 (45)    Vascular permeation  Differentiation    Absent  74 (89)  Well  76 (92)
Vascular permeation      Differentiation        Absent      74 (89)      Well      76 (02)
Absent 74 (89) Well 76 (02)
Ausent /4 (07) well /0 (92)
Present      09 (11)      Moderate/ Poor      07 (08)
Capsular Invasion Multifocality
Absent 55 (66) Absent 64 (77)
Present 28 (34) Present 19 (23)
Encapsulation Residual Disease
Well encapsulated      76 (92)      Absent      24 (29)
Partially/Not encapsulated 07 (08) Present 59 (71)
Treatment
Surgery 29 (35)
Surgery + RIA and/RT      54 (65)      Surgery + RIA      50 (60)
Surgery + RIA + RT $04(05)$
Disease Status
Recurrence/Distant Metastasis (N=69) Alive/Dead (N=76)
Absent      62 (90)      Alive      68 (89)
Present 07 (10) Dead 08 (11)
Recurrence 3 (4)
Distant metastasis 4 (6)
Bone 1 (1.5)
Lung 2 (3.0)
Bone + Lung 1 (1.5)

Table 1: Clinicopathologi	cal characteristics o	of PTC patients

# Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA) for circulating IL-8:

Pretherapeutic blood samples were collected from all subjects, and sera were separated and stored at -80°C until analysis. Circulating levels of IL-8 were estimated from the serum samples using commercially available kit (EIA IL-8: Immunotech-IM2237) using manufacturer's instructions. The unknown concentrations were determined through Graph pad prism 5 software.

# Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for tumoral protein expression of IL-8:

Formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue blocks of the patients were retrieved from Histopathology department. Four micron thick sections were taken and mounted on aminopropyl triethoxy silane slides. (APES) coated glass Immunohistochemical staining was performed using primary mouse monoclonal IL-8 antibody (R&D Systems-MAB208; dilution-1:50) and MACH4 Universal HRP-Polymer Detection System (Biocare Medicals, USA), as per manufacturer's

recommendations. Briefly, the Immunohistochemical staining procedure and semiquantitative scoring of the stained sections were performed as described earlier.<sup>[13]</sup>

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16 (SPSS Inc, USA) was used to analyse the data. IL-8 levels between two groups were assessed by Independent Samples T-test. Discriminating efficacy of IL-8 was determined by Receiver's operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Tumoral protein expressions in carcinoma patients benign and and association with clinicopathological parameters of carcinoma patients were Two-tailed determined by  $\chi 2$ test. Spearman's correlation coefficient (r) was used to find correlation between two parameters. Univariate survival analysis for DFS and OS was evaluated using Kaplan-Meier method and Log rank test. P values  $\leq$ 0.05 were considered significant.

#### **RESULTS**

#### Circulating levels of IL-8

Circulating IL-8 levels in different subjects are depicted in Table 2. In patients with *benign thyroid diseases* (N=67), the

circulating IL-8 levels were significantly higher as compared to that in *healthy individuals* (P=0.003). The total benign thyroid patients were further grouped as (N=45)patients with goitre and autoimmune diseases (N=22). It was observed that the patients with goitre had significantly higher circulating levels of IL-8 than the healthy individuals (P<0.001). Further, patients with autoimmune diseases, grouped into Hashimoto's when sub thyroiditis (N=9) and Graves' disease (N=13), it was noted that as compared to the healthy individuals, the circulating levels of IL-8 were significantly higher in both the patientssubgroups of Hashimoto's thyroiditis (P=0.002) and Graves' disease (P<0.001). In Total thyroid carcinoma patients (N=106), the circulating levels of IL-8 were significantly higher than the healthy individuals (P<0.001). These thyroid carcinoma patients were further sub grouped according to their histological subtypes as PTC, FTC, MTC and ATC. It was observed that in all these sub groups of thyroid carcinoma patients, the circulating levels of IL-8 were predominantly higher than the healthy individuals (PTC: P<0.001, FTC: P<0.001, MTC: P<0.001 and ATC: P<0.001).

Tuble 27 biginiteance of en culuting levels of the o						
Subjects	IL-8					
	$M \pm SE (pg/ml)$	P value				
Healthy individuals (N=67)	$2.76\pm0.32$					
Benign thyroid disease (N=67)	$145.15 \pm 46.72$	0.003*				
Goitre (N=45)	$144.53 \pm 37.46$	<0.001*				
Autoimmune diseases (N=22)						
Hashimoto's thyroiditis (N=9)	$329.70 \pm 296.95$	0.002*				
Graves' disease (N=13)	$19.53\pm10.08$	<0.001*				
Thyroid carcinoma (N=106)	$353.97 \pm 65.84$	<0.001 <sup>*</sup> ; 0.023 <sup>#</sup>				
Papillary thyroid carcinoma (N=83)	$355.37 \pm 78.02$	<0.001 <sup>*</sup> ; 0.031 <sup>#</sup>				
Follicular thyroid carcinoma (N=6)	$566.72 \pm 325.06$	<0.001*; 0.023#				
Medullary thyroid carcinoma (N=9)	$415.09 \pm 192.14$	< <b>0.001</b> <sup>*</sup> ; 0.066 <sup>#</sup>				
Anaplastic thyroid carcinoma (N=8)	111.21 + 60.92	< <b>0.001</b> <sup>*</sup> : 0.806 <sup>#</sup>				

Table 2: Significance of circulating levels of IL-8

\*Significance of circulating levels of IL-8 in benign and thyroid carcinoma patients as compared to healthy individuals. #Significance of circulating levels of IL-8 in thyroid carcinoma patients as compared to benign thyroid diseases.

Further, the **ROC curves** revealed that IL-8 exhibited a good discriminatory efficacy between healthy individuals and patients with different thyroid diseases (Figure 1A-1H).







- A. Total benign thyroid disease patients vs Healthy individuals
  B. Benign goitre patients vs Healthy individuals
- C. Hashimoto thyroidtis patients vs Healthy individuals
- D. Grave's disease patients vs Healthy individuals
- E. PTC patients vs Healthy individuals
- F. FTC patients vs Healthy individuals
- G. MTC patients vs Healthy individuals
- H. ATC patients vs Healthy individuals

Moreover, it was observed that IL-8 levels were significantly higher in thyroid carcinoma patients, as compared to patients with benign thyroid diseases (IL-8: P=0.023). Further, when sub grouped, the levels were found to be considerably higher in PTC and FTC patients as compared to patients with benign thyroid diseases (PTC: P=0.031 and FTC: P=0.023). [Table 2].

In agreement to this, ROC curves also showed that IL-8 could well discriminate between patients with benign diseases and PTC as well as between benign and FTC patients [Figure 2A and B].



Tumoral protein expression of IL-8

Cytoplasmic and/or nuclear staining was observed for IL-8 (Figure 3). The immunoreactivity was either focal or scattered. For statistical evaluation, cytoplasmic and nuclear expressions were scored independently and taken into account separately.



Figure 3: Photomicrographs showing staining for IL-8

- A. Cytoplasmic and nuclear staining for IL-8 in benign goitre
- B. Cytoplasmic and nuclear staining for IL-8 in PTCC. Negative control for IL-8 in PTC

Median IRS of IL-8 expression was used as cut-off to divide the patients into low ( $\leq$ median IRS) and high (>median IRS) expression groups, respectively. Accordingly, Table 3 depicts the comparison of IL-8 expression between the patients with benign thyroid diseases and thyroid carcinomas.

Incidence of cytoplasmic IL-8 immunoreactivity was significantly high in thyroid cancer patients as compared to the benign thyroid disease patients ( $\chi^2$ =8.784, r=+0.244, P=0.003). In PTC patients too, the incidence of cytoplasmic immunoreactivity of IL-8 was found to be significantly high as compared to the benign thyroid disease patients ( $\chi^2$ =8.474, r=+0.257, P=0.003). In FTC patients, the cytoplasmic IL-8 expression was higher than benign thyroid disease patients; but, this difference was not statistically significant ( $\chi^2$ =1.624, r=+0.252, P=0.074).

Cytokine	BTD (N=45)	TTC (N=102)	PTC (N=83)	FTC (N=6)	MTC (N=9)	ATC (N=9)
expression	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Cytoplasmic	Median IRS-2	Median IRS- 4	Median IRS- 4	Median IRS- 1.5	Median IRS- 4	Median IRS- 2
IL-8						
Low	37 (82)	58 (57)	47 (57)	3 (50)	5 (56)	3 (75)
High	8 (18)	44 (43)	36 (43)	3 (50)	4 (44)	1 (25)
		$\chi^2 = 8.784,$	$\chi^2 = 8.474,$	$\chi^2 = 1.624$ ,	$\chi^2 = 1.736$ ,	$\chi^2 = 0.000,$
		r=+0.244,	r=+0.257,	r=+0.252,	r=+0.239,	r=+0.051,
		P=0.003	P=0.003	P=0.074	P=0.188	P=1.000
Nuclear IL-8	Median IRS-0	Median IRS-0	Median IRS-0	Median IRS-0	Median IRS-0	Median IRS- 1
Low	38 (84)	90 (88)	75 (90)	5 (83)	8 (89)	2 (50)
High	7 (16)	12 (12)	8 (10)	1 (17)	1 (11)	2 (50)
		$\chi^2 = 0.399$ , r-	$\chi^2 = 0.987$ , r=-	$\chi^2 = 0.000,$	$\chi^2 = 0.000$ , r=-	$\chi^2 = 1.063$ ,
		0.052, P=0.531	0.088, P=0.324	r=+0.010,	0.047, P=1.000	r=+0.244,
				P=1.000		P=0.302

Table 3: Compa	rison of cytokine	expressions betwee	en the pati	ients with b	enign thy	roid diseas	es and total th	yroid (	carcinoma j	oatients

BTD- Benign Thyroid diseases; TTC- Total Thyroid Cancer patients

#### Correlation of IL-8 with clinicopathological parameters of PTC patients

Preponderance of serum IL-8 levels was observed in male patients (P=0.035). Also IL-8 levels were observed to be higher in patients having larger tumor size (P=0.050), advanced stage disease (P=0.045) and presence of fibrosis (P=0.005). Cytoplasmic IL-8 expression was significantly higher in males ( $\chi^2$ =4.112, r=+0.223, P=0.043); in patients with larger tumor size ( $\chi^2$ =3.970, r=+0.219, P=0.047) and presence of extrathyroidal extension of tumors ( $\chi^2$ =9.006, r=+0.329, P=0.002). Moreover, a trend of higher cytoplasmic IL-8 immunoreactivity was evident in patients showing capsular invasion of tumors ( $\chi^2$ =3.262, r=+0.198, P=0.072) and in those

having tumors in single lobe of the thyroid gland ( $\chi^2$ =3.160, r=-0.195, P=0.077) as compared to their respective counterparts. On the other hand, higher nuclear IL-8 expression was predominant in patients with smaller tumor size than in patients with larger tumor size ( $\chi^2$ =4.487, r=-0.273, P=0.034). Besides this, a trend of higher nuclear IL-8 immunoreactivity was seen in

PTC patients with presence of distant metastasis ( $\chi^2$ =3.081, r=+0.255, P=0.079) and those who had been postoperatively treated with RIA and/RT ( $\chi^2$ =3.206, r=+0.239, P=0.073). Apart from these, IL-8 did not show significant correlation with rest of the clinicopathological parameters [Table 4].

Parameter	Circulating levels Tumoral protein expression							
			Cytoplasmic			Nuclear		
	Mean $\pm$ SE	Р	Low	High		Low	High	
	(pg/ml)		N (%)	N (%)		N (%)	N (%)	
Gender								
Female	$241.48\pm76.66$	0.035	36 (64)	20 (36)	r=+0.223			
Male	$591.58 \pm 173.36$		11 (41)	16 (59)	P=0.043			
Tumour size								
Small (T1+T2)	$189.64 \pm 76.60$	0.050	26 (68)	12 (32)	r=+0.219	31 (82)	7 (18)	r=-0.273
Large (T3+T4)	$495.31 \pm 125.65$		21 (47)	24 (53)	P=0.047	44 (98)	1 (2)	P=0.034
Stage								
Early (I+II)	$225.72 \pm 67.74$	0.045						
Advanced (III+IV)	$542.21 \pm 159.75$							
Metastasis								
Absent						68 (93)	5 (7)	r=+0.255
Present						7 (70)	3 (30)	P=0.079
Fibrosis								
Absent	$224.65 \pm 47.43$	0.005						
Present	$717.81 \pm 251.70$							
Extrathyroidal extension								
Absent			36 (69)	16 (31)	r=+0.329			
Present			11 (35)	20 (65)	P=0.002			
Capsular Invasion								
Absent			35 (64)	20 (36)	r=+0.198			
Present			12 (43)	16 (57)	P=0.072			
Bilaterality								
Unilateral			31 (51)	30 (49)	r=-0.195			
Bilateral			16 (73)	6 (27)	P=0.077			
Treatment								
Surgery						29 (100)	0 (0)	r=+0.239
Surgery + RIA and/RT						46 (85)	8 (15)	P=0.073
r- correlation coefficient								

Table 4:	Correlation of IL-8 with cli	nicopathological parameters of PTC patients
	Circulating lavala	Tumonal protain approaction

#### Survival analysis

The median level of IL-8 (34.20 pg/ml) and median IRS score was used as cut-off to divide the PTC patients into low ( $\leq$  median) and high (> median) level/expression groups, respectively. Univariate analysis revealed that neither circulating IL-8 nor the tumoral IL-8 expression was a significant predictor of DFS or OS in PTC patients [Table 5].

	DFS (N=69)			S (N=76)		
	Ν	Patients relapsed N (%)		Patients died N (%)		
Circulating IL-8 le	vels					
Low	35	3 (9)	38	4 (10)		
High	34	4 (12)	38	4 (10)		
		Log rank=0.170, df=1, P=0.680		Log rank=0.001, df=1, P=0.969		
IL-8 protein expres	ssion					
Cytoplasmic IL-8						
Low	39	6 (15)	42	4 (9)		
High	30	1 (3)	34	4 (12)		
		Log rank=2.572, df=1, P=0.109		Log rank=0.099, df=1, P=0.753		
Nuclear IL-8						
Low	62	7 (11)	68	7 (10)		
High	7	0 (0)	8	1 (12)		
		Log rank=0.831, df=1, P=0.362		Log rank=0.056, df=1, P=0.813		

Table 5: Univariate survival analysis for DFS and OS in relation to IL-8 expression in PTC patients

However, cytoplasmic IL-8 expression was significant predictor of OS in subgroup of patients treated with surgery alone. In this group, 25% (3/12) patients with high cytoplasmic IL-8 expression had significantly shorter OS while, all the patients having lower cytoplasmic IL-8 expressions remained alive (Log rank=4.106, df=1, P=0.043) [Figure 4].



Figure 4: Significantly reduced OS observed in PTC patients treated with surgery alone having high cytoplasmic IL-8 expression as compared to those with low cytoplasmic IL-8 expression

Besides this, IL-8 expression when correlated with previous results on the expression of adhesion molecules (L-Selectin and VCAM-1)<sup>[14]</sup> and with SOCS proteins (SOCS-1, SOCS-2 and SOCS-3)<sup>[17]</sup> in PTC patients, it was observed that cytoplasmic IL-8 expressions exhibited a significant positive correlation with L-Selectin expression (r=+0.258, P=0.018) as well as with VCAM-1 (r=+0.437, P<0.001). It also showed significant positive correlation with the expression of all the three SOCS proteins (cytoplasmic IL-8 vs SOCS-1: r=+0.435, P<0.001; cytoplasmic IL-8 vs SOCS-2: r=+0.230, P=0.036; cytoplasmic IL-8 vs SOCS-3: r=+0.336, P=0.002). In addition, nuclear IL-8 expression (r=-0.244, P=0.026) showed a significant inverse correlation with SOCS-3 immunoexpression [Table 6].

	Circulating IL-8	Cytoplasmic IL-8 expression	Nuclear IL-8 expression
Circulating L-Selectin	r=+0.165,P=0.135	-	-
Circulating VCAM-1	r=-0.044, P=0.695	-	-
L-Selectin expression	-	r=+0.258, P=0.018	r=-0.062, P=0.579
VCAM-1 expression	-	r=+0.437, P<0.001	r=-0.124, P =0.266
SOCS-1 expression	-	r=+0.435, P<0.001	<b>r</b> =-0.184, P=0.096
SOCS-2 expression	-	r=+0.230, p=0.036	<b>r</b> =-0.066, P=0.551
SOCS-3 expression	-	r=+0.336, p=0.002	r=-0.244, P=0.026

Table 6: Correlation of IL-8 with adhesion molecules (L-Selectin and VCAM-1) and SOCS (SOCS-1, SOCS-2 and SOCS-3) proteins in primary tumors of PTC patients

r- correlation coefficient

#### **DISCUSSION**

Serum IL-8 levels were significantly elevated in all patients with thyroid disorders compared to healthy as individuals. Its levels were even elevated in the thyroid cancer patients as compared to the patients with benign thyroid diseases. Confirming the results, ROC curves also revealed that serum IL-8 showed good efficacy to discriminate between healthy individuals and patients with different thyroid diseases as well as between patients with benign thyroid diseases and thyroid cancer patients. Contrarily, studies of

Krassas and colleagues found that IL-8 levels were not elevated in Graves' disease, toxic nodular goitre and Hashimoto's thyroiditis.<sup>[18]</sup> However, similar to our observation, some studies demonstrated statistically significant differences in IL-8 levels in patients with thyroid disease and group.<sup>[9-12]</sup> normal reference Our preliminary study revealed significant higher IL-8 levels in benign, autoimmune and thyroid carcinoma patients, and it was significantly associated with the advanced stage disease in PTC patients.<sup>[19]</sup> Increased circulating IL-8 levels have also been observed in patients with various other malignancies.<sup>[20-33]</sup>

Moreover, high IL-8 levels were significantly positively correlated with larger tumor size, advanced stage and presence of fibrosis in PTC patients. Also the levels were found to be higher in the male patients who are more likely to be associated with aggressive tumor behaviour as compared to the female patients. Recently, Sanmamed and colleagues reported that serum IL-8 levels correlate with tumor burden and prognosis.<sup>[8]</sup> In colon cancer patients, its levels statistically correlated with tumor stage,<sup>[23]</sup> and in breast cancer, circulating IL-8 significantly increased with tumor size<sup>[29]</sup> which is consistent to the present results. IL-8 levels increased significantly in patients with more advanced stage in breast and uterine endometrial cancers.<sup>[28, 34]</sup>

Similar to present study, de Campos et al observed IL-8 immunostaining in cytoplasm and focally in nucleus of neoplastic cells in breast cancer patients.<sup>[35]</sup> while IL-8 protein expression was seen predominantly in cytoplasm of lung cancer cells.<sup>[36, 37]</sup> Jenkins et al had observed a significant increase in moderate IL-8 staining in Barrett's (premalignant) tissues and an increase in strong staining in adenocarcinoma tissue compared to adjacent squamous tissue.<sup>[38]</sup> Current study also demonstrated significantly higher cytoplasmic IL-8 expression in PTC patients as compared to benign thyroid diseases. Cytoplasmic IL-8 overexpression was significantly higher in male patients and was substantially positively correlated with larger tumor size and extrathyroidal extension of tumors, while higher nuclear expression was associated with smaller tumor size. This indicates that cytoplasmic expression might be related to more adverse tumor characteristics while; nuclear IL-8 immunoreactivity may be indicative of less hostile tumor behaviour in PTC patients.

In accordance to present study, Chen et al reported higher IL-8 expression in pancreatic cancer patients at both circulating and tumor tissue levels.<sup>[39]</sup> IL-8 expression correlated with disease progression in prostate, breast and ovarian cancers.<sup>[40-44]</sup> They observed increase in growth, proliferation, angiogenesis, adhesion and invasion with increased IL-8 overexpression and these effects were decreased on depletion of endogenous IL-8 expression by transfecting cells with plasmid encoding for antisense IL-8.<sup>[45]</sup>

IL-8 is found to be a prognostic marker in various human cancers.<sup>[46-49]</sup> In present study, higher cytoplasmic IL-8 immunoreactivity was associated with significantly reduced OS in the PTC patients who were treated with surgery alone. Thus, it can be suggested that the patients having higher IL-8 immunoreactivity may require more active treatment rather than surgery alone, for better prognosis.

Moreover, IL-8 expression exhibited significant positive correlation with the expression of adhesion molecules in PTC patients. It can be suggested that, an increased expression of adhesion molecules may be the result of neutrophil activation by inflammatory cytokines like IL-8.<sup>[50]</sup> In breast cancer cells, VCAM-1 expression was induced by cytokoine stimulation and its up regulation directly correlated with advanced stage.<sup>[51]</sup> It has been observed that addition of exogenous cytokines induced expression of endothelial adhesion molecules thereby increasing the adhesive property of cancer cells. This cell-cell adhesion leads to clustering of VCAM-1 which in turn activates the PI3K/AKT signalling that suppresses apoptosis and promotes survival signal in the tumor cells.<sup>[52]</sup>

These results indicate that production of IL-8 by cancer cells may be one of the factors leading to expression of adhesion molecules, which can facilitate tumor progression through activation of various signalling pathways and further substantiate the link between inflammation and cancer progression.

Further, the expression of SOCS proteins might be heterogeneously induced

by various cytoiknes including IL-8. Usually, SOCS expression is known to be stimulated by activation of cvtokine signalling pathway. In turn, when SOCS are overexpressed, they tend to inhibit cytokine induced signal transduction in a negative feedback manner.<sup>[53, 54]</sup> Hence overall, cancer cells are sustained by several cytokines within the tumor microenvironment, which lead to activation of pathways that support cancer cell growth and survival. Expression of SOCS proteins may be a consequence of this.<sup>[54]</sup> Thus, in the present study, significant positive correlation of IL-8 with the SOCS proteins, is indicative of a possibility that, there might be failure of negative regulatory pathways acting upon the IL-8 induced pathways, which may overpower the capacity of SOCS proteins to suppress IL-8 expression and reduce the activity of downstream transcriptional factors.

Additionally, the varied effects of IL-8 signalling upon different cell types present within the tumor microenvironment has been suggestive of targeting of CXCchemokine signalling including IL-8, in order to arrest disease progression and assist in sensitizing tumors to chemotherapeutic and biological agents. Repertaxin, is the CXCR1/2 inhibitor developed to prevent IL-8-induced injury.<sup>[55]</sup> Clinical trials are in progress to determine the safety and efficacy of repertaxin in combination with docetaxel chemotherapy in patients with advanced breast cancer.<sup>[56-58]</sup> Furthermore, evidence for IL8-CXCR1/2 axis in CSC has been reported by independent studies and offers a potential therapeutic target.<sup>[59-63]</sup> Currently, randomized, double blind phase 2 clinical trials aimed at testing the effective targeting of CSC through this axis are in progress.<sup>[56-58, 63]</sup>

### CONCLUSION

IL-8 is not only produced by immune cells but also by the follicular cells of thyroid gland. Its overexpression at both circulating and tumor tissue levels may indicate an excessive production by tumor cells in an inflammatory microenvironment and subsequent release into the circulation. Overall, IL-8 has a role as a differentiating marker in patients with various thyroid diseases and in advancement of thyroid cancer. Further, IL-8 expression is not suppressed by the SOCS proteins and it may have a role in inducing the expression of adhesion molecules like VCAM-1 and L-Selectin and thereby increasing cancer cell proliferation and progression in PTC patients. Moreover, as its expression was able to predict OS in PTC patients treated with surgery alone, targeting IL-8 signalling along with conventional treatment strategies might be beneficial in such patients.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financially supported by Gujarat Cancer Society (GCS), and it was approved by the GCRI/GCS ethics committee.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Mantovani A. Inflaming metastasis. Nature. 2009; 457(7225):36-37.
- 2. Shurin MR, Shurin GV, Lokshin A, et al. Intratumoral cytokines/chemokines/growth factors and tumor infiltrating dendritic cells: friends or enemies?. Cancer and Metastasis Reviews. 2006; 25(3):333.
- Freund A, Chauveau C, Brouillet JP, et al. IL-8 expression and its possible relationship with estrogen-receptor-negative status of breast cancer cells. Oncogene. 2003; 22(2):256-65.
- 4. Brat DJ, Bellail AC, Van Meir EG. The role of interleukin-8 and its receptors in gliomagenesis and tumoral angiogenesis. Neuro-oncology. 2005; 7(2):122-33.
- 5. Britschgi A, Andraos R, Brinkhaus H, et al. JAK2/STAT5 inhibition circumvents resistance to PI3K/mTOR blockade: a rationale for cotargeting these pathways in metastatic breast cancer. Cancer Cell. 2012; 22(6):796-811.
- 6. Liu Q, Li A, Tian Y, et al. The CXCL8-CXCR1/2 pathways in cancer. Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews. 2016; 31:61-71.
- 7. Gonzalez-Aparicio M, Alfaro C. Influence of Interleukin-8 and Neutrophil Extracellular Trap (NET) Formation in the Tumor Microenvironment: Is There a Pathogenic Role?. Journal of immunology

Research. 2019; 2019. Article ID 6252138: 7 pages.

- Sanmamed MF, Carranza-Rua O, Alfaro C, et al. Serum interleukin-8 reflects tumor burden and treatment response across malignancies of multiple tissue origins. Clinical Cancer Research. 2014; 20(22):5697-707.
- Siddiqi A, Monson JP, Wood DF, et al. Serum cytokines in thyrotoxicosis. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 1999; 84(2):435-9.
- 10. Bossowski A, Urban M. Serum levels of cytokines in children and adolescents with Graves' disease and non-toxic nodular goiter. Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2001; 14(6):741-8.
- Linkov F, Ferris RL, Yurkovetsky Z, et al. Multiplex analysis of cytokines as biomarkers that differentiate benign and malignant thyroid diseases. PROTEOMICS–Clinical Applications. 2008; 2(12):1575-85.
- 12. Provatopoulou X, Georgiadou D, Sergentanis TN, et al. Interleukins as markers of inflammation in malignant and benign thyroid disease. Inflammation Research. 2014; 63(8):667-74.
- 13. Kobawala TP, Trivedi TI, Gajjar KK, et al. Role of Interleukin-18 in thyroid tumorigenesis. Int J Cancer Ther Oncol. 2016; 4(4):431.
- 14. Kobawala TP, Trivedi TI, Gajjar KK, et al. Significance of TNF- $\alpha$  and the adhesion molecules: L-selectin and VCAM-1 in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Journal of Thyroid Research. 2016; 2016.
- 15. Kobawala TP, Trivedi TI, Gajjar KK, et al. Significance of interleukin-6 in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Journal of Thyroid Research. 2016; 2016.
- Kobawala TP, Gajjar KK, Trivedi TI, et al. IL-2 and IL-12 in thyroid cancer: Clinical implication. International Journal of Cancer Therapy and Oncology. 2018; 6(1). doi:10.14319/ijcto.61.7
- 17. Kobawala TP, Trivedi TI, Gajjar KK, et al. Significance of expression of suppressor of cytokine signaling proteins: suppressor of cytokine signaling-1, suppressor of cytokine signaling-2, and suppressor of cytokine signaling-3 in papillary thyroid cancer. Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics. 2017; 13(2):337.

- Krassas GE, Bougoulia M, Koliakos G. Serum interleukin-8 levels in thyroid diseases. Thyroid. 2000; 10(5):445-6.
- 19. Kobawala TP, Patel GH, Gajjar DR, et al. Clinical utility of serum interleukin-8 and interferon-alpha in thyroid diseases. Journal of Thyroid Research. 2011; 2011.
- Kamiñska J, Kowalska MM, Nowacki MP, et al. CRP, TNFα, IL-1ra, IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10 in blood serum of colorectal cancer patients. Pathology Oncology Research. 2000; 6(1):38-41.
- 21. Szkaradkiewicz A, Marciniak R, Chudzicka-Strugała I, et al. Proinflammatory cytokines and IL-10 in inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal cancer patients. Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Experimentalis. 2009; 57(4):291.
- 22. Lu CC, Kuo HC, Wang FS, et al. Upregulation of TLRs and IL-6 as a marker in human colorectal cancer. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2015; 16(1):159-77.
- 23. Nastase A, Paslaru L, Herlea V, et al. Expression of interleukine-8 as an independent prognostic factor for sporadic colon cancer dissemination. Journal of Medicine and Life. 2014; 7(2):215.
- 24. Ene CD, Anghel AE, Neagu M, et al. 25-OH vitamin D and interleukin-8: emerging biomarkers in cutaneous melanoma development and progression. Mediators of Inflammation. 2015; 2015.
- 25. Daraï E, Detchev R, Hugol D, et al. Serum and cyst fluid levels of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8 and tumour necrosis factor-alpha in women with endometriomas and benign and malignant cystic ovarian tumours. Human Reproduction. 2003; 18(8):1681-5.
- 26. Błogowski W, Deskur A, Budkowska M, et al. Selected cytokines in patients with pancreatic cancer: a preliminary report. PloS One. 2014; 9(5).
- 27. Krzystek-Korpacka M, Matusiewicz M, Diakowska D, et al. Impact of weight loss on circulating IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, VEGF-A, VEGF-C and midkine in gastroesophageal cancer patients. Clinical Biochemistry. 2007; 40(18):1353-60.
- 28. Benoy IH, Salgado R, Van Dam P, et al. Increased serum interleukin-8 in patients with early and metastatic breast cancer correlates with early dissemination and survival. Clinical Cancer Research. 2004; 10(21):7157-62.

- 29. Ahmed OI, Adel AM, Diab DR, et al. Prognostic value of serum level of interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 in metastatic breast cancer patients. Egypt J Immunol. 2006; 13(2):61-8.
- Orditura M, De Vita F, Catalano G, et al. Elevated serum levels of interleukin-8 in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients: relationship with prognosis. Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research. 2002; 22(11):1129-35.
- 31. McKeown DJ, Brown DJ, Kelly A, et al. The relationship between circulating concentrations C-reactive of protein, inflammatory cytokines and cytokine receptors in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. British Journal of Cancer. 2004; 91(12):1993-5.
- 32. Kaminska J, Kowalska M, Kotowicz B, et al. Pretreatment serum levels of cytokines and cytokine receptors in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer, and correlations with clinicopathological features and prognosis. Oncology. 2006; 70(2):115-25.
- 33. Ren YI, Poon RT, Tsui HT, et al. Interleukin-8 serum levels in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: correlations with clinicopathological features and prognosis. Clinical Cancer Research. 2003; 9(16):5996-6001.
- 34. Fujimoto J, Aoki I, Khatun S, et al. Clinical implications of expression of interleukin-8 related to myometrial invasion with angiogenesis in uterine endometrial cancers. Annals of Oncology. 2002; 13(3):430-4.
- de Campos Zuccari DA, Leonel C, Castro R, et al. An immunohistochemical study of interleukin-8 (IL-8) in breast cancer. Acta Histochemica. 2012; 114(6):571-6.
- 36. Yuan A, Yang PC, Yu CJ, et al. Interleukin-8 messenger ribonucleic acid expression correlates with tumor progression, tumor angiogenesis, patient survival, and timing of relapse in non-small-cell lung cancer. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2000; 162(5):1957-63.
- 37. Chen JJ, Yao PL, Yuan A, et al. Upregulation of tumor interleukin-8 expression by infiltrating macrophages: its correlation with tumor angiogenesis and patient survival in non-small cell lung cancer. Clinical Cancer Research. 2003; 9(2):729-37.

- Jenkins GJ, Mikhail J, Alhamdani A, et al. Immunohistochemical study of nuclear factor-κB activity and interleukin-8 abundance in oesophageal adenocarcinoma; a useful strategy for monitoring these biomarkers. Journal of Clinical Pathology. 2007; 60(11):1232-7.
- 39. Chen Y, Shi M, Yu GZ, et al. Interleukin-8, a promising predictor for prognosis of pancreatic cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG. 2012; 18(10):1123.
- 40. Murphy C, McGurk M, Pettigrew J, et al. Nonapical and cytoplasmic expression of interleukin-8, CXCR1, and CXCR2 correlates with cell proliferation and microvessel density in prostate cancer. Clinical Cancer Research. 2005; 11(11):4117-27.
- Singh JK, Simões BM, Howell SJ, et al. Recent advances reveal IL-8 signaling as a potential key to targeting breast cancer stem cells. Breast Cancer Research. 2013; 15(4):210.
- 42. Mayerhofer K, Bodner K, Bodner-Adler B, et al. Interleukin-8 serum level shift in patients with ovarian carcinoma undergoing paclitaxel-containing chemotherapy. Cancer. 2001; 91(2):388-93.
- 43. Kassim SK, El-Salahy EM, Fayed ST, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor and interleukin-8 are associated with poor prognosis in epithelial ovarian cancer patients. Clinical Biochemistry. 2004; 37(5):363-9.
- 44. Merritt WM, Lin YG, Spannuth WA, et al. Effect of interleukin-8 gene silencing with liposome-encapsulated small interfering RNA on ovarian cancer cell growth. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2008; 100(5):359-72.
- 45. Wang Y, Xu RC, Zhang XL, et al. Interleukin-8 secretion by ovarian cancer cells increases anchorage-independent growth, proliferation, angiogenic potential, adhesion and invasion. Cytokine. 2012; 59(1):145-55.
- 46. Rutkowski P, Kaminska J, Kowalska M, et al. Cytokine serum levels in soft tissue sarcoma patients: Correlations with clinico-pathological features and prognosis. International Journal of Cancer. 2002; 100(4):463-71.
- 47. Retzlaff S, Padro T, Koch P, et al. Interleukin 8 and Flt3 ligand as markers of advanced disease in primary gastrointestinal

non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Oncology Reports. 2002; 9(3):525-7.

- 48. Ugurel S, Rappl G, Tilgen W, et al. Increased serum concentration of angiogenic factors in malignant melanoma patients correlates with tumor progression and survival. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2001; 19(2):577-83.
- 49. Chen L, Fan J, Chen H, et al. The IL-8/CXCR1 axis is associated with cancer stem cell-like properties and correlates with clinical prognosis in human pancreatic cancer cases. Scientific Reports. 2014; 4:5911.
- 50. Izycka A, Jabłońska E, Izycki T, et al. Expression of L-selectin on the surface of neutrophils stimulated by TNF-alpha and level of sL-selectin in serum of patients with lung cancer. Polski Merkuriusz Lekarski: Organ Polskiego Towarzystwa Lekarskiego. 2005; 18(103):62-5.
- 51. Wang PC, Weng CC, Hou YS, et al. Activation of VCAM-1 and its associated molecule CD44 leads to increased malignant potential of breast cancer cells. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2014; 15(3):3560-79.
- 52. Qian BZ, Pollard JW. New tricks for metastasis-associated macrophages. Breast Cancer Research. 2012; 14(4):316.
- Alexander WS, Hilton DJ. The role of suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins in regulation of the immune response. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2004; 22:503-29.
- 54. Sasi W, Sharma AK, Mokbel K. The role of suppressors of cytokine signalling in human neoplasms. Molecular Biology International. 2014; 2014.
- 55. Bertini R, Allegretti M, Bizzarri C, et al. Noncompetitive allosteric inhibitors of the inflammatory chemokine receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2: prevention of reperfusion injury. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences. 2004; 101(32):11791-6.

- 56. Ginestier C, Liu S, Diebel ME, et al. CXCR1 blockade selectively targets human breast cancer stem cells in vitro and in xenografts. The Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2010; 120(2):485-97.
- 57. Brandolini L, Cristiano L, Fidoamore A, et al. Targeting CXCR1 on breast cancer stem cells: signaling pathways and clinical application modelling. Oncotarget. 2015; 6(41):43375.
- Liotti F, De Pizzol M, Allegretti M, et al. Multiple anti-tumor effects of Reparixin on thyroid cancer. Oncotarget. 2017; 8(22):35946.
- 59. Kemp DM, Pidich A, Larijani M, et al. Ladarixin, a dual CXCR1/2 inhibitor, attenuates experimental melanomas harboring different molecular defects by affecting malignant cells and tumor microenvironment. Oncotarget. 2017; 8(9):14428.
- 60. Ruffini PA. The CXCL8-CXCR1/2 Axis as a therapeutic target in breast cancer stemlike cells. Frontiers in Oncology. 2019; 9.
- 61. Korkaya H, Liu S, Wicha MS. Breast cancer stem cells, cytokine networks, and the tumor microenvironment. The Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2011; 121(10):3804-9.
- 62. Schott AF, Wicha M, Cristofanilli M, et al. Abstract OT2-3-01: Phase Ib pilot study to evaluate reparixin in combination with chemotherapy with weekly paclitaxel in patients with HER-2 negative metastatic breast cancer (MBC).
- 63. Korkaya H, Wicha MS. Breast cancer stem cells: we've got them surrounded. Clinical Cancer Research. 2013; 19(3):511-3.

How to cite this article: Kobawala T, Gajjar K, Ghosh N. Interleukin-8: A potential marker for differentiating papillary thyroid cancer. International Journal of Research and Review. 2020; 7(4): 369-382.

\*\*\*\*\*