Association of Platelet Count/Spleen Size Ratio in Relation with Grades of Esophageal Varices and Severity of Chronic Liver Disease

Uthaya Sankar M.K¹, Naveen Sathish.V², Mythreini Satheesh³, Thirumal.P⁴

¹Professor, ^{2,3}Post Graduate Resident, ⁴Assistant Professor,

Department of General Medicine, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College and Hospital, Puducherry-605107, India

Corresponding Author: Naveen Sathish.V

ABSTRACT

Background: Most serious complication of portal hypertension in patients with liver diseases is esophageal varices. This study was conducted to evaluate non-invasive predictors of large esophageal varices in comparison with small or absent varices in patient with cirrhosis.

Objective: To assess the association of platelet count/spleen size ratio in relation with severity of varices and to compare with Child Pugh's score of chronic liver disease.

Methodology: A Hospital based cross section study was conducted in Department of General Medicine and Gastroenterology. The study population is of 90 who were diagnosed to have chronic liver disease based of ultrasonogram and biochemical test.

Results: Incidence of large varices was seen in 34.4%. Onmultivariate analysis, only spleen diameter is independent predictors for the presence of large varices with mean size of 14.2cm. The sensitivity of PC/SD Ratio of \leq 909 in predicting presence of esophageal varices in our study was 61.29 and specificity was 61.02%. However, the mean PC/SD to predict the large esophageal varices in our study was \leq 962.3 and small and absent varices was 1172.7. Although there is significant reduction in PC/SD ratio between the two groups it was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: From our study we conclude that presence of splenomegaly and lower PC/SD ratio determine the presence of higher grades of varices and can hence identify the patients who require endoscopy for the prophylactic management of esophageal varices.

Key words: platelet count/spleen size ratio, esophageal varices, Child Pugh's score, chronic liver disease

INTRODUCTION

Portal hypertension is the most common and deadly complication of chronic liver disease.¹ As the consequence, Gastro esophageal varices, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, hepatorenal syndrome, hepatopulmonary syndrome and hypersplenism develop. Portal can hypertension is associated with increase in venous pressure gradient hepatic to >5mmHg and is considered as the major complication of cirrhosis. Serious complication of portal hypertension is variceal bleed which is associated with high mortality. 60-80% of cirrhotic liver patients has varices with 25-35% of bleeding risk.²

The best way to detect varices is endoscopic evaluation of upper GI system. However. inconvenience, patient intolerance, contraindication, cost and lack of equipment justifies the need for development of noninvasive methods. Noninvasive method among them is platelet count/spleen diameter ratio which showed high sensitivity for prediction of large varices.³ Overall, large spleen size, low platelet count, or their combination (platelet count to spleen diameter ratio), fibro scan, portal vein size or presence of collaterals on ultrasound, hypersplenism and Child Pugh

score were the predictors of esophageal varices either directly or indirectly linked to portalhypertension.⁴The platelet count to spleen diameter ratio was the only parameter with the highest accuracy for identifying the presence of Esophageal varices in cirrhosis patients; it was consistently associated with the presence or absence of Esophageal varices.

OBJECTIVE

"Association of platelet count/spleen size ratio in relation with grades of esophageal varices and severity of Chronic liver disease"

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design: Hospital based cross sectional study

Study area: Department of General Medicine, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College, Pondicherry.

Duration of study: 18 months from date of approval of Human Ethics Committee.

Study Participants: Patients admitted under Department of General medicine and Department of Gastro-enterology with features suggestive of Chronic Liver Disease in Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College and Hospital, Pondicherry.

Sample Size: Sample size was calculated to be 90 using software nMaster version 2.0 using sensitivity as 94% from pervious study with 95% confidence interval and 5% absolute precision.⁵

Inclusion Criteria: All patients with chronic liver disease in Medicine and Gastroenterology ward.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Active bleeding
- Previous endoscopic sclerosis or band ligation of EV.
- Previous surgery for portal hypertension or TIPSS placement.
- Patients who were on primary prophylaxis for EV.

Source of Data: Patients who admitted in Medicine and Medical Gastroenterology ward, SMVMCH with clinical suspicion or

biochemical abnormality suggestive of portal hypertension and chronic liver disease.

Methodology: All patients underwent biochemical tests, like liver function tests, complete blood counts, renal function tests, prothrombin time, ultrasonography of the abdomen to confirm the presence of cirrhosis and to record the spleen bipolar diameter and ascites. Upper GI endoscopy was done in all patients to confirm the presence of varices and to grade them. We tried to identify non-invasive parameters for predicting the severity of esophageal varices in Cirrhotic patients. We assessed the role of Platelet count/Splenic diameter ratio for predicting esophageal varices in cirrhotic patients

RESULTS



Fig 1. Most of the study participants belongs to 31-45 years of age followed by 46-60years



Fig 2. Most of the study participants are male, percentage 86.7 % and female is 13.3%



Fig 3. 48 out of 90 patients, 53.3% had presented with abdominal pain as chief complaint.

| Table 1Distribution of study participants who had melena | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--|
| Presence of Melena | Frequency | Percent | |
| Yes | 52 | 57.8 | |
| No | 38 | 42.2 | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | |

The above table 1 shows that, 52 out of 90 patients i.e.57.8% had history for malena as predominant symptom.

| Table 2. Distribution | of | stud | y I | oarticipants | who | had |
|-------------------------|----|------|------|--------------|-------|-----|
| hematemesis | | | | | | |
| Presence of Hematemesis | | | Free | luency | Perce | nt |
| Yes | | | 11 | | 12.2 | |
| No | | | 79 | | 87.8 | |
| Total | | | 90 | | 100.0 | |

From the above table 12.2% of study participants had complaints of hematemesis



Fig 5. 55.6%, 50 out of 90 of study participants had smoking history.



Fig 6. 76.7% of study participants were chronic alcoholic patients

| Table 3. Distribution of study participants who had history of | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--|--|
| diabetes | | | | |
| History of Diabetes | Frequency | Percent | | |
| Yes | 11 | 12.2 | | |
| No | 79 | 87.8 | | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | | |

| Table 4. Distribution of study participants who had history of hypertension | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|
| History of Hypertension | Frequency | Percent | |
| Yes | 3 | 3.3 | |
| No | 87 | 96.7 | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | |

12.2% were having history of diabetes and 3.3% were having history of hypertension.

| Table 5. Distribution of study participants who had signs of LF | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Signs of LF | Frequency | Percent | | |
| Yes | 55 | 61.1 | | |
| No | 35 | 38.9 | | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | | |

61.1% of participants were presented with signs of liver cell failure



Fig 7. 54.4% of study participants were presented with flapping tremors

| Table 6. Distribution of study participants who had Hep B | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|
| H/O Hep B | Frequency | Percent | |
| Yes | 5 | 5.6 | |
| No | 85 | 94.4 | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | |

| Table 7. Distribution of study participants based on UGIscopy | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|--|
| UGI scopy (grade) | Frequency | Percent | | |
| 0 | 6 | 6.7 | | |
| 1 | 21 | 23.3 | | |
| 2 | 32 | 35.6 | | |
| 3 | 29 | 32.2 | | |
| 4 | 2 | 2.2 | | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | | |



Fig 8. Most participants 35.6% of patients were having Grade 2 varices followed by 32.2% with Grade 3 varices.



Fig 9. 41 out of 90 participants, 45.6% belongs to Child B score and 44.4% belongs to Child C score.

| Table 8. Distribution of study participants based on platelet count | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Platelet count | Frequency | Percent | | |
| <50000 | 7 | 7.8 | | |
| 50000-100000 | 17 | 18.9 | | |
| 1,00,001-1,50,000 | 35 | 38.9 | | |
| >1,50,001 | 31 | 34.4 | | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | | |

38.9% of participants were having platelet count between 1,00,000 to 1,50,000.



Fig 10. Most study participants, 42.2% were having PC/SD ratio of 500-1000 followed by 35.6% with 1000-2000.

| Table 9. Distribution of study participants who had Large Varices | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Varices | Frequency | Percent | | |
| Yes | 59 | 65.6 | | |
| No | 31 | 34.4 | | |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | | |

65.6% of study participants, 59 out of 90 participants were having large varices.

| Table 10. Association between variables and varices | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------|--|
| Variables | Presence of varices | | | |
| | Large | Small/absent | P value | |
| | Mean (S.D) | Mean (S.D) | | |
| Pulse rate | 90.13 (12.6) | 85.32 (12.14) | 0.08 | |
| Systolic BP | 93.16 (8.66) | 99.32 (13.5) | 0.02 | |
| Diastolic BP | 64.65 (6.09) | 66.31 (7.6) | 0.3 | |
| Random blood sugar | 127.1 (78.86) | 126.58 (54.81) | 0.96 | |
| Urea | 27.77 (12.8) | 27.41 (16.3) | 0.91 | |
| Creatinine | 0.96 (0.30) | 0.92 (0.38) | 0.57 | |
| Hemoglobin | 8.99 (2.7) | 10.01 (2.5) | 0.08 | |
| Total count | 6896 (4090.1) | 8272 (4249.0) | 0.14 | |
| SGOT | 83.87 (119.3) | 75.22 (56.06) | 0.6 | |
| SGPT | 37.13 (31.52) | 30.21 (16.1) | 0.17 | |
| ALP | 193.7 (102.3) | 176.19 (117.1) | 0.48 | |
| BT | 4.13 (4.4) | 5.4 (6.5) | 0.3 | |
| BD | 2.21 (3.2) | 2.84 (3.9) | 0.4 | |
| Protein | 7.21 (0.66) | 7.3 (0.73) | 0.52 | |
| Albumin | 3.44 (0.69) | 3.46 (0.70) | 0.91 | |
| Prothrobin time (T) | 20.57 (7.08) | 20.04 (5.2) | 0.89 | |
| PT© | 13.73 (2.5) | 13.34 (0.7) | 0.27 | |
| INR | 1.522 (0.44) | 1.56 (0.47) | 0.67 | |
| Platelets | 134677.42 (76616.1) | 146423.73 (83130.18) | 0.51 | |
| Spleen diameter | 142.9 (24.20) | 127.2 (15.6) | 0.01 | |
| Platelet/spleen diameter ratio | 962.3 (564.3) | 1172.7 (698.95) | 0.15 | |
| | N= 31 | N=58 | | |

| Table 11. Association between Platelet count, Spleen bipolar diameter and PC/SD ratio with severity of varices | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|-------|------|
| Variables | Presence of varices | | Total | |
| | Large | Small/absent | | |
| Platelets count | | | | |
| <50000 | 5 (16.1) | 2 (3.4) | 7 | |
| 50,001-100000 | 5 (16.1) | 12 (20.3) | 17 | 0.17 |
| 100001-150000 | 10 (32.3) | 25 (42.4) | 35 | |
| >150001 | 11 (35.5) | 20 (33.9) | 31 | |
| Spleen Bipolar diameter | | | | |
| <100 | 2 (6.5) | 3 (5.1) | 5 | |
| 101-200 | 28 (90.3) | 56 (94.9) | 84 | 0.36 |
| >201 | 1 (3.2) | 0 (0.0) | 1 | |
| Platelet count/Spleen diameter rational | 0 | | | |
| <500 | 2 (6.5) | 8 (13.6) | 10 | |
| 501-1000 | 13 (41.9) | 25 (42.4) | 38 | 0.67 |
| 1001-2000 | 13 (41.9) | 19 (32.2) | 32 | |
| >2001 | 3 (9.7) | 7 (11.9) | 10 | |
| | | | | |

| | Table 12. Correlation of child Pugh grade with UGI scopy findings | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Child pugh score | UG endo | JG endoscopy | | | | Total |
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 1 | А | 2 (33.3) | 3 (14.3) | 3 (9.4) | 1 (3.4) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (10.0) |
| 2 | В | 3 (50.0) | 8 (38.1) | 16 (50.0) | 14 (48.3) | 0 (0.0) | 41 (45.6) |
| 3 | С | 1 (16.7) | 10 (47.6) | 13 (40.6) | 14 (48.3) | 2 (100.0) | 40 (44.4) |



Fig 11. Most Child B and Child C participants were having Grade 2 and Grade 3 esophageal Varices

DISCUSSION

On comparing with platelet count majority of patients, 38.9% that is 35 of study participants had platelet count between 1,00,000 to 1.50,000 followed by 34.4% of participants has more than 1,50,000. Of PC/SD ratio, majority of patients belonged to category of 500-1000 which is 42.2% of study participants. 35.6% of participants has ratio between 1000-2000.

Relationship between noninvasive parameters like Serum Bilirubin, transaminases, Serum albumin, Hemoglobin, Platelet count, spleen bipolar diameter, PC/SD ratio to presence of varices was studied. Of these only spleen diameter (P<0.05) had statistical significance.

Studies by Chalasani et al (1999), Sanjay Kumar et al (2006), Torres et al (1996) state that splenomegaly is an independent predictor of presence of varices. Although there is a significance difference between two groups in PC/SD ratio, it is not statistically significant.

From the above comparison table, it is evident that majority of the patients with esophageal varices had platelet count between 1,00,000 to 1,50,000 with 35 patients, 5 patients (16%) with large varices had platelet of <50,000 whereas only 2 patients (3.4%) had small varices. and Spleen bipolar diameter in the range of 100-200mm constituting 84% and the Platelet count/ Spleen diameter ratio less than1000 in 48% but there was no significant difference in ratio between patients with large varices and small varices.

The platelet count/ spleen diameter ratio was deemed to be the appropriate parameter to be used as splenomegaly is implicated in thrombocytopenia of cirrhosis with spleen size being inversely correlated with platelet count. The use of this ratio normalizes platelet count to splenic sequestration since platelet count alone may be misleading and cannot be solely attributed to portal hypertension.

Therefore, noninvasive methods have been developed to predict the presence and size of varices. in a study conducted in Mexico by González-Ojeda et al., they demonstrated that P/S ratio could predict the presence of varices with 84% sensitivity and 70% specificity. Sarangapani et al. reported that P/S ratio could predict large esophageal sensitivity with higher varices and specificity. Meta-analysis performed by Ying et al. included 20 studies with 3063 patients demonstrated that P/S ratio could predict esophageal varices with 92% sensitivity.

In our study 9 out of 90 participants belongs to child A score of which only one had large varices and 14 patients in child B and 16 patients in child C had large varices. The accuracy of the platelet count/spleen diameter ratio was maintained across the

whole spectrum of disease severity. In particular, the platelet count/spleen diameter ratio obtained very good results even when the prevalence of EV was low as in Child-Pugh class A patients. This is not a negligible result, because prophylaxis of first bleeding is especially important in these patients.

CONCLUSION

From our study we conclude that presence of splenomegaly and lower PC/SD ratio determine the presence of higher grades of varices and can hence identify the subset of patients who require endoscopy for the prophylactic management of esophageal varices. Therefore, reduce the burden on the endoscopy units, avoiding unnecessary screening endoscopies and as well may reduce the cost of management of bleeding varices.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Gupta,TK, ChenL, GroszmannRJ. Pathophysiology of portal hypertension. Clin. Liver Dis. 1997; 1:1-12.
- 2. De Franchis R, Primignani M. Natural history of portal hypertension in patients with cirrhosis. Clin. Liver Disease 2001; 5:645-63.
- 3. Luketic VA, Sanyal AJ. Oesophageal varices. I clinical presentation, medical therapy and endoscopic therapy. Gastroenterology clinics of North America 2000: 29:337-385.
- Sarangapani A, Shanmugam C, Kalyanasundaram M, Rangachari B, Thangavelu P, Subbarayan JK. Noninvasive prediction of large esophageal varices in chronic liver disease patients. Saudi journal of gastroenterology: official journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology Association. 2010 Jan;16(1):38.
- 5. Rigo GP, Merghi A, Chalen NJ, Mastronardi M, Codoluppi PL, Ferrari Aet al. A prospective study of the ability of the three endoscopic classification to predict hemorrhage from oesophageal varices. Gastro in test Endos. 1992; 38:425-9.
- 6. The Northern Italian Endoscopic club for the study and treatment of oesophageal varices. Prediction of the first variceal hemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis of the

liver and oesophageal varices: a prospective multicenter study. N. Engl. J. Med. 1988; 319:983-9.

- D'Amico G, Garcia-Isao G, Cales P. Diagnosis of portal hypertension; Howand When. Proceedings of the third Baveno International consensus workshop on definitions, methodology and therapeutic strategies. Oxford: Black well science 2001:36-63.
- D'Amico G, Pagliano L, Bosch J, The treatment of portal hypertension, a meta analysis review. Hepatology 1995: 22:332-54.
- Brenna MRS, Targowhik L, Gareti SD, Hetal AK, Ian MG. Endoscopic screening for oesophageal varices in cirrhosis. Is it ever cost effective? Hepatology 2003; 37:366-77.
- 10. De Franchis R, Dell'Era A, Primignani M. Diagnosis and monitoring of portal hypertension. Dig Liver Dis. May 2008;40(5):312-7.
- Bruce R. Bacon. Cirrhosis and its complications. Chapter 308. Harrison's principles of Internal Medicine 18th edition. McGraw-Hill.2012
- Andrew K. Burroughs. The Portal Venous System and Portal Hypertension. Chapter 9. Diseases of the Liver and Biliary System. Twelfth Edition. Wiley- Blackwell. 2011.
- 13. Sheila Sherlock, James Dooley. Hepatic Cirrhosis. Chapter 21.Diseases of the liver and biliary system. 11thedition.
- Vijay H. Shah, Patrick S. Kamath. Portal Hypertension and Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Sleisenger and Fordtran Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease. 9th edition. Chapter 84 and90.
- 15. Child CG, Turcotte JG. Surgery and portal hypertension. In: Child CG(edi). The liver and portal hypertension. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1964:50-64.
- Madhotra R, Mulcahy HE, Willner I, et al.(2002) Prediction of esophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis, Journal Of Clinical Gastroenterology,34:81–5
- 17. Zaman A, Becker T, Lopidus J, et al.(2001)Risk factors for the presence of varices in cirrhotic patients without a history of variceal hemorrhage. Archives Of Internal Medicine,161:2564–70.
- Assessment of the prognosis of cirrhosis: Child–Pugh versus MELD Journal of Hepatology 2005;42.S100–S107.

- 19. Greenway CV, Stark RD; Hepatic Vascular bed. Physiol Rev. 1971: 51:23-65.
- 20. Ballet F. Hepatic circulation: Potential for therapeutic intervention. Pharmacol therapy 1990: 47:281-328.
- Douglass BE, Baggenstoss AH, Hollinshead. The anatomy of the portal vein and its tributaries. Surg. Gynaecol Obstet. 1950: 91:562-570.
- 22. Groszmann RJ, Reassessing portal venous pressure measurements gastroenterology 1984: 86:1611-1614.
- 23. Chalasani N, Imperiale TF, Ismail A, et al. Predictors of large esophageal varices in

patients with cirrhosis. American Journal Of Gastroenterology 1999;94:3285–91.69.

24. Torres E, Calme F, Herrera B. Echographic parameters in the evaluation of the degree of portal hypertension. Review Of Gastroenterology Peru1996;16(2):125-32

How to cite this article: Sankar MKU, Sathish VN, Satheesh M et.al. Association of platelet count/spleen size ratio in relation with grades of esophageal varices and severity of chronic liver disease. International Journal of Research and Review. 2020; 7(10): 404-411.
