Research Paper

An Empirical Investigation of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology in E-Learning Adoption in Higher Education Institutions in the UAE

Saeed Alblooshi, Nor Aziati Binti Abdul Hamid

Faculty of Technology Management and Business, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia

Corresponding Author: Saeed Alblooshi

ABSTRACT

E-Learning has been connected into many educational institutions to earn the advantages of the faster enhancements in technology that help in improving the learning experience and increase its effectiveness. As a result, many governments and educational institutions implement electronic learning in order to improve students' performance. Therefore, this paper aims to examine the direct effect of the main four elements of the UTAUT (i.e. performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating condition) on behaviour intention to use of e-learning. The respondents of the study were Higher Colleges of Technology (HCT) Abu Dhabi (men and women campus). Retrieved questionnaires were 406 out of 490 with 82.9% respondent rate. The results show that with an overall average mean of 3.27, results shown that respondents are more inclined to use e-learning as part of their learning activities. Likewise, the results revealed that there is a strong relationship between performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating condition to use of e-learning.

Keywords: E-learning; Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT); United Arab Emirates (UAE)

INTRODUCTION

More universities are now beginning to nurture the use of electronic learning across their studentship and staffs. E-Learning involves the use of electronic devices to promote online education using online platforms such as social media, including web-based training and technical delivery services. (Farah, 2011). According to Boateng et al. (2016) e-learning is the application of information and communication technologies (ICT) to improve access to the resources that facilitate teaching and learning. The adoption and diffusion of such technology by faculty members and use by students alike continues to be the main challenge among higher education institutions. particularly in a developing region such as the Middle-East. The spread of e-learning in developed countries, unlike developing ones like the United Arab Emirates (UAE), is a well-researched phenomenon (Van Raaij & Schepers, 2008). Although this trend in the adoption of e-learning technologies has been felt at an international level. Middle Eastern countries such as the UAE continues to lag behind other Middledespite Eastern countries immense procurement of the needed infrastructure and governmental intervention to adequately integrate e-learning into teaching and learning activities in higher education This institutions (HEIs). slow-paced

adoption of e-learning in higher education institutions is multifaceted especially from the perspectives of faculty members and students, and this has been hinged on issues such as language, culture, accessibility issues, support, as well as personal preferences (Raman *et al.*, 2014; Yakubu & Dasuki, 2019). Another possible exploration of the causes of this could be the fact that the pedagogical benefits of e-learning have been disputed or have not been fully embraced by students, educators as well as the university management (Boateng *et al.*, 2016; Suki & Suki, 2010; Yakubu & Dasuki, 2019).

Having outlined some of the notable benefits of e-learning, there is no doubt that e-learning is an innovative tool that should enormous attention attract from stakeholders. As developing countries like the UAE continues to improve on their infrastructure, the globalization of education will necessitate the adoption of e-learning technology for the primary goal of gaining and maximizing benefits, remaining competitive, and exposing students to some of the technologies that they might meet in the workplace. This hence requires an adapted model for e-learning adoption by considering student perspectives of the important determinants that foster e-learning adoption in HEIs(Mostafa et al., 2016). Hence, the United Arab Emirates launched the transition from the country's largest national education system to e-learning, distributing approximately 14,000 electronic device computers to federal college students (Mostafa et al., 2016). However, the students using smart electronic learning face issues such as interactivity and connectivity (Zahran Khaimah, & Pettaway, 2016). Recently, the solicitation of electronic technology in the ground of education has aroused great interest. although the technology is still developing (Kamali, 2013). According to Alhebsi et al. (2015) in the Gulf region, the UAE played a leading role in developing high-end electronic devices for students teaching (Ati & 2014). However, Guessoum, the effectiveness of the e-learning system still not reached it expected position due to the lack of awareness on perusing students to adopt the e-learning in the education environment, and with the increasing integration of electronic learning in the community, there is a strong need for practical guidelines and recommendations to promote and provide an effective teaching and learning environment for income generation (Iran, 2011).

Nowadays the world is making speedy progress in the application of technology particularly in industrial and or educational organizations. Hence, the rapid growth of information technology and the increasing dependence on it in every part of life has meant that it has become vital and important for people to gain competence in this field if they are to fully participate in their organizations. For instance, in the education sectors, the aim is to reduce the financial burden on university education by publishing textbooks electronically, free materials and improving the efficiency of higher education performance. the Therefore, most universities are nurturing using electronic learning. E- Learning refers to the use of electronic devices to promote online education using online devices such as social media platforms, including webbased training and technical delivery advice as well as sharing classes notes and conducting classes online (Smith, & Farah, 2011). In other word, e-learning is a modern method of learning across multiple situations using personal electronic devices through social and content interactions. In addition, this is a new type of distance learning approach where learners use electronic device education technology when they are convenient (Crescente and Lee, 2011; Smith, & Farah, 2011 and Crompton, 2013).

Although e-learning is highly implemented in developed countries such as the USA, UK, Singapore and many other well developed nations (Salloum & Shaalan, 2018). However, in developing counters especially the middle east countries it not

achieved its expectation level yet and it still in the early development (Suwaidi, 2019). Mostafa et al. (2016) highlighted that among the Middle East countries Oman is doing well in using e-learning platform. Oman has the highest growth rate in the region, at 19.6%, followed by Lebanon (16.0%), Turkey (12.9%), Kuwait (12.6%) and Qatar (11.3%). Meanwhile, the United Arab Emirates Higher Education sectors followed the technique of electronic learning as other Middle Eastern countries, like Oman. Nevertheless, students at the higher education in the UAE presently have low acceptance of e-learning according to Mostafa et al., (2016). Notwithstanding the UAE launched a nationwide mixed-learning program called "smart learning" in 2014, its intentions was limited to medium level rather than university level (Alhebsi, Pettaway, & Khaimah, Khaimah, 2015;Zahran et al., 2016;Alkaabi, Albion, & Childhood, 2016). Thus, this study aim to investigate the direct effect of the main four elements of the UTAUT (i.e. performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating condition) on behaviour intention to use of e-learning. the respondents of the study were Higher Colleges of Technology (HCT) Abu Dhabi (men and women campus).

LITERATURE REVIEW Concept and Definition of E-learning

Al-Homod and Shafi (2013) an innovative approach to education delivery via electronic forms of information that enhance the learner's knowledge, skills, or other performance. In addition, e-Learning as the delivery of learning or training using electronically based approaches, mainly through the Internet, intranet, extranet, or Web. "e-Learning involves the use of network technologies (such as Internet and networks) business for delivering. supporting, and assessing formal and informal instruction" (Rao, 2011). Elearning is defined in this research to mean, a web-based learning management system provides different supplementary that

educational tools including virtual school, etests and self-evaluation tool, e-homework assignments tool, question bank tool and lesson planning tool, for students and teachers (Tatweer 2014).

E-Learning has become a key success factor for organizations because of complexity and changing circumstances constantly require the development of new thinking Modeling and learning have become a core part of everyday work (Ruohotie 2012). Traditionally, learning in three ways: textbooks, Teachers and actual cases, but virtual tools may be used to take over at least a portion these learning functions (Tavangarian et al. 2010). In elearning, the teacher is Instead, an online help system or performance support system is provided. Information is provided at the request of the learner or automatically (Jochems et al., 2009).

E-learning can be used to achieve learning similar to real life Simulations without time and place restrictions (such as projects or internships) (Jochems et al., 2009). Technological development has eliminated time and Space barriers, allowing knowledge to be acquired and transmitted at any time anywhere (Horton 2012). The limitations of e-learning have been so in the past Unable to create real and realistic input and output models based on technology (Jochems et al., 2009), but in recent years' technology has developed Ability to develop better tools, such as using virtual reality technology Create realistic simulations. For example, the possibility of E-Learning or moving as wireless training becomes more accessible, learning is increasing (Levis et al., 2012). E-learning has fundamentally changed the way training and learning are done (Ruohotie, 2012) considers this to be the biggest change in learning since the invention Alphabet. Consensus among practitioners (Coné & Robinson 2011; Rosset 2012).

The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Model (UTAUT)

Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) concept attempts to clarify the intention of using information systems follow-up use behavior. The theory believes that the performance of key structures expected value, expected workload, social inspiration and favorable environments are all through to the elements of purpose and use performance of information systems (Venkatesh et.al. 2003). Venkatesh et al. (2003) proposed sex, age, involvement and age voluntary procedure mitigates the influence of the four key structures on use intentions and performance.

UTAUT is meant to be adjusted to fit the technology being queried. Therefore, a certain amount of rewording is expected. Behavioral intention is defined as the person's subjective probability that he or she will perform the behavior in question (Chang, 2013). In addition, the UTAUT model focuses on how to explain the user's intention to use an information system and subsequent behavioral intention and identifies four key drivers of the adoption of information systems which are performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social facilitating conditions. influence. and However, explaining mobile banking usage (Zhou et al., 2010) This paper takes a similar approach as past studies in adapting the UTAUT model to introduce the concept and characteristics of mobile applications and to discuss the usage of mobile applications in university libraries. According to Lu, (2014) UTAUT were tested in staged longitudinal studies and yielded strong empirical evidence of support IS field. Due to this model's in functionality, the fundamental elements have been integrated into the famous expectation confirmation theory.

The UTAUT dependency structure is behavior intent and procedure behavior. The autonomous structure is the performance expectation, hard expectation, and society Influence, promotion environments, sex, age, involvement and voluntary custom. The four main determinants of the use of intent

and behavioral intentional use are performance expectations, job expectations, social impact and convenience. The authors the determinants propose that of performance expectations, efforts to expect, social influence, and promotion conditions the directly determine intentions of individuals to use and use performance. The gender, age, involvement and voluntary use of the structure intercede their influence on personal use intentions and behavior (Venkatesh et al., 2003).

Performance expectancy

Venkatesh *et al.* (2003) defined performance expectancy as the extent to which an individual believes that using a system will help him or she attains gains in job performance. In the context of this study, performance expectancy refers to the student's belief that using e-learning will be beneficial and interesting in achieving high performance in learning.

Effort Expectancy

Venkatesh *et al.* (2003) defined effort expectancy as the "degree of ease associated with the use of a system. In the context of this study, effort expectancy refers to students' belief that using elearning in facilitating their learning will be easy for them, i.e. it will require little effort.

Social influence

According to Venkatesh *et al.* (2003), social influence is the extent to which an individual perceives that important social groups or elements believe that such individual should use the new system. In this study, social influence refers to the influence and support from people such as friends, peers, social cycle, educators, management of universities as well as academic administrators to use e-learning as part of their learning tools.

Facilitating conditions

Defined as the degree to which an individual believes that organizational and technical infrastructure exists to support the use of a system (Venkatesh *et al.*, 2003).

Hence, in this study, facilitating conditions is regarded as the accessibility of an appropriate learning environment and infrastructure within the university that can foster the use of the technologies being considered. Such conditions include individuals' knowledge and skills and an environment that stimulates and supports students' willingness to use e-learning (Venkatesh, *et al.*, 2003).

Behavioural intention:

Defined as a person's subjective probability that he or she will perform the behaviour in question (Venkatesh *et al.*, 2003). In the context of this study, the behavioural intention was conceptualized as the subjective probability that students will use e-learning as part of their learning.

Hypothesis development

H1: Performance expectancy has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use e-learning.

H2: Effort expectancy has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use elearning.

H3: Social influence has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use elearning.

H4: Facilitating condition has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use e-learning.



Figure 1: Framework of the study

METHODOLOGY

There are three research main research approaches used in social science research; they are quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods. This study utilized a quantitative quantitative approach; a approach is an approach that is dominant in the field of social science. It deals with the use of statistical and numerical summaries to make inference regarding the factors that influence an outcome or in understanding the best predictors of outcomes (Bryman, 2017). Likewise, the quantitative approach employs logical positivism, quantitative measures and uses experimental methods to test hypothetical generalizations. Thus, the

purpose of this study was to ascertain student's perspectives of the determinants of e-learning adoption in higher education institutions in the UAE, by examining the direct effects the four determinants of adoption technology on behavioural intention to use e-learning. Thus, the survey strategy was adopted in this study to afford the researcher the flexibility and means to obtain precise data from students regarding their perspectives on e-learning adoption in HEIs in the UAE. This survey research design also allows the researcher to make valid and useful conclusions and generalizations regarding the outcomes from empirical investigation. Therefore, the

retrieved questionnaires were 406 out of 490 with 82.9% respondent rate.

FINDINGS

Response rate

Given that a convenience sample of 490 respondents was selected for the study, a total of 490 questionnaires were also distributed to HCT students for a duration of six months. A total of 406 questionnaires were retrieved from the respondents, thus accounting for 82.9% of the response rate. Experts recommend that a response rate of up to 50% and above is considered satisfactory and useable for empirical research purposes (Fosnacht *et al.*, 2017). Hence, the data retrieved from respondents is considered useable and useful for this study. Table 1 shows a breakdown of the response rate for the study.

Table 1: Response rate for the study

SN	Distribution	Frequency	Percentage
1	The total Number of HCT students (Abu Dhabi men and women campus)	5,382	
2.	Selected Sample	490	
3	Retrieved questionnaires	406	
4	Response rate $406/490 =$		82.9%

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

The questionnaire used for data collection in this study was divided into two main sections. Section А sought respondents' demographic information so as to accurately describe the demographic profile of respondents in this study, while Section B corresponds to scales measuring the distinct variables of the study. A connivance sample of 490 students was selected to participate in the study. However, after data collection, a response rate of 82.9 percent was reported, corresponding to a total of 406 respondents that participated in the study. Table 2 shows the distribution of respondents by gender. The results from the analysis show that 53% of respondents were males, while the 47 percent were females.

Table 1: Percentage distribution of respondents by Gender

G	ender	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	Male	216	53.2	53.2	53.2
	Female	190	46.8	46.8	100.0
	Total	406	100.0	100.0	

Similarly, the researcher also sought to determine the distribution of respondents according to their distinct nationality. Table 3 shows the distribution of respondents that according to their nationality that participated in the study. The bulk of the respondents were from the UAE, with a total of 59.4 percent of the total sample. Furthermore, respondents from the Middle East represented a total of 15.5 percent, while those from European countries accounted for 19.5 percent, respondents from African and Asian countries also accounted for 2.5 percent and 3.2 percent respectively of the total sample. Hence it can be concluded that more than half of the total number of respondents from this study were from the UAE, followed by those from European countries and the Middle East, respectively.

Table 3: Percen	tage distribut	tion of resp	ondents by	y Nationality

Nationality	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
			Percent	Percent
UAE	241	59.4	59.4	59.4
Middle East	63	15.5	15.5	74.9
European	79	19.5	19.5	94.3
African	10	2.5	2.5	96.8
Asian	13	3.2	3.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

The researcher also sought to describe respondents according to their age distribution. Table 4 shows the age distribution of respondents in this study. Results show that the majority of respondents in this study were aged between 18-25 years corresponding to 71.2 percent of the sample for this study, followed by those aged between 26-35 years with 25.6 percent of the total sample. Similarly, respondents aged between 36-45 years accounted for 2.2 percent of the sample in this study, while those aged from 45 years and above accounted for only 1 percent of the sample.

Α	ge	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
				Percent	Percent
	18 - 25	289	71.2	71.2	71.2
	26 - 35	104	25.6	25.6	96.8
	36 - 45	9	2.2	2.2	99.0
	45 - Above	4	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	406	100.0	100.0	

 Table 4: Percentage distribution of respondents by Age

Descriptive statistics for the variables

Descriptive statistic was used to describe respondents' views regarding the measured variables in the study. The variables performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating conditions are the independent variables of the study while the dependent variable of the study was the behavioural intention to use elearning.

items	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SS1	406	1	5	3.75	1.160
SS2	406	1	5	3.35	1.329
SS3	406	1	5	3.67	1.280
SS4	406	1	5	3.55	1.321
SS5	406	1	5	3.16	1.411
Aggregate				3.27	
FC1	406	1	5	3.11	1.312
FC2	406	1	5	3.47	1.356
FC3	406	1	5	3.81	1.231
FC4	406	1	5	3.52	1.266
FC5	406	1	5	3.00	1.162
FC6	406	1	5	3.37	1.301
Aggregate				3.29	
PE1	406	1	5	3.04	1.248
PE2	406	1	5	2.83	1.338
PE3	406	1	5	3.38	1.241
PE4	406	1	5	3.26	1.312
PE5	406	1	5	3.18	1.360
Aggregate				3.19	
EE1	406	1	5	3.17	1.304
EE2	406	1	5	3.23	1.320
EE3	406	1	5	3.18	1.325
EE4	406	1	5	3.13	1.429
EE5	406	1	5	3.02	1.350
Aggregate				3.30	
BIU1	406	1	5	3.51	1.245
BIU2	406	1	5	3.22	1.359
BIU3	406	1	5	3.02	1.366
BIU4	406	1	5	3.04	1.349
BIU5	406	1	5	2.98	1.323
Overall				3.27	

Table	5: Des	criptive Statis	stics for Perf	ormance I	Expectancy	

Table 5 shows the descriptive summary (i.e. the mean and standard deviation) for each of the measured variable. The average means for social influence as 3.27, indicating that students believe that they received support from relevant management and academic groups, peers, educators etc., on using e-learning as part of their learning process. Similarly, the facilitating average mean for score conditions is 3.29, indicating that respondents perceive that the organizational and technical infrastructure including the knowledge and skills as well as the enabling environment that supports and stimulates students' willingness to use e-learning was provided. Furthermore, an average mean score of 3.19 for performance expectancy indicates that students have a positive view and the belief that e-learning will be beneficial and interesting to them in yielding high performances in learning. in addition, the average mean for effort expectancy is 3.30, indicating that students perceive that using e-learning in their learning will require little effort. Hence, with an average mean of 3.30, results show that respondents are more inclined to use elearning as part of their learning activities.

Convergent validity and reliability

Reliability is the extent to which an instrument is free from random errors and the extent to which such instrument produces consistent results if repeated in other settings or context (David & Sutton, 2011; Pallant, 2011b). This implies that reliability and error are related, in the sense that the higher the error, the less reliable an instrument is and vice versa. In this study, the internal consistency reliability test and composite reliability were used to determine the reliability of the scales. Table 6 shows the composite reliability scores and Cronbach alpha values for each of the measured variables. All constructs had composite reliability ranging from 0.840 to 0.859 and Cronbach alpha values ranging 0.798, 0.774 to respectively. from According to George and Mallery (2003), scales with Cronbach's Alpha value are considered poor when the alpha value is <0.60, fairly reliable when the alpha value is between 0.60 to 0.69, good when it falls between 0.70 to 0.79 and excellent when the value is 0.80 and above. While composite

reliability scores of 0.6 and above is considered acceptable, especially (Bagozzi & Yi, 1988; Hair *et al.*, 2014b). Hence, given that the Cronbach alpha reliability scores and composite reliability scores exceeded the minimum threshold values as reported by experts in the field, then reliability of the scales have been established.

Table 6: Convergent Validity and Reliability

Ľ	,		
Construct	AVE	CR	Cronbach's alpha
Performance expectancy	0.546	0.855	0.788
Effort expectancy	0.552	0.859	0.795
Social influence	0.514	0.840	0.798
Facilitating conditions	0.505	0.859	0.774
Behavioural intention	0.532	0.849	0.776

In addition, Discriminant validity is established from the Fornell and Lacker Criterion since the square root of the AVEs for the constructs actual use, behavioural intention, effort expectancy, facilitating conditions, performance expectancy and social influence is higher than their respective highest correlation as shown in each column in Table 7 below.

	Behaviourl	Effort	Facilitating	Performance	Social
	Intention	Expectancy	Conditions	Expectancy	Influence
Behavioural Intention	0.730				
Effort Expectancy	0.507	0.723			
Facilitating Conditions	0.422	0.148	0.711		
Performance Expectancy	0.542	0.244	0.337	0.719	
Social Influence	0.363	0.186	0.477	0.183	0.717

Table 7: Discriminant validity using Fornell and Lacker Criterion

Collinearity Assessment

In the initial assessment of the structural model, it is important to address lateral collinearity issues. Although, Kock (2012)assert and Lynn that with discriminant validity, the assessment of vertical collinearity would have been met, however lateral collinearity issues (i.e. the collinearity) predictor criterion mav sometimes misrepresent the findings in a stealthy way since it can mask the strong causal effect in the model. Lateral collinearity typically occurs when two variables that are hypothesized to be causally related measure the same construct (Ramayah et al., 2016). Hence, the importance of collinearity assessment in a

structural model should not be underestimated. If the variables in а structural model are subjected to collinearity issues, then it means that such variables are redundant, and redundant variables ought to be identified and excluded from the structural model in order to preserve the integrity of the statistical analysis (Hair et al., 2016). The Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) was used to evaluate collinearity. Hair et al. (2016)propose that a VIF value of 5 or higher indicates a potential collinearity Similarly, Diamantopoulos issue. and Siguaw (2006) recommended more stringent criteria using VIF values moOf 3.3 and above as a possible indication of collinearity. Hence, in this study, both Hair

et al. (2016) and Diamantopoulos and Siguaw (2006) recommendations were used. All the inner VIF values for the variables, as

shown in Table 8 are less than 5 and 3.3, indicating that multicollinearity was not a concern.

	Behavioural Intention	Effort Expectancy	Facilitating Conditions	Performance Expectancy	Social Influence
Behavioural Intention	1.217				
Effort Expectancy		1.087			
Facilitating Conditions	1.217	1.412			
Performance		1.179			
Expectancy					
Social Influence		1.318			

Table 8: Evaluation of Collinearity based on the Variance inflation factor (VIF)

Path coefficients

In PLS-SEM, the path coefficient is also used to assess the structural model. The path coefficient or estimates from the structural model relationship have standardized values that typically ranges between -1 and +1, with path coefficient close to +1 representing a strong positive relationship while those close to -1 represents a strong negative relationship (Hair *et al.*, 2016). Ramayah *et al.* (2016) assert that the closer the values are to 0, the less significant they may be. Hence, the path coefficients were determined by using bootstrapping of 500 subsamples to ascertain the t-values for 0.05 significance level. Table 9 shows the results of the bootstrapping significance analysis for the structural path model coefficients, *t*-statistics and *p*-values.

Table 9: Significance test for the Structural model path coefficient, t-value and p-values

Paths	Std β	Std Error	t Statistic	p Value	Decision
Effort Expectancy -> Behavioural Intention use of E-learning	0.365	0.036	10.131	0.000	Supported
Facilitating Conditions -> Behavioural Intention use of E-learning	0.176	0.047	3.752	0.000	Supported
Performance Expectancy -> Behavioural Intention use of E-learning	0.367	0.046	7.943	0.000	Supported
Social Influence -> Behavioural Intention use of E-learning	0.145	0.037	3.911	0.000	Supported

From Table 9, it can be seen that there are 5 direct path coefficients of which all were significant with t-values exceeding the t-critical value of 1.96 and p-values value less than 0.05. All of the direct effect had a strong positive relationship on behaviour intention to use e-learning.

Coefficient of Determination (\mathbf{R}^2)

adjusted coefficient The of determination (\mathbf{R}^2) was used to estimate the model's predictive power. According to Hair *et al.* (2016), R^2 represents the combined effects of the exogenous variables (i.e. the independent constructs within the model), on the endogenous variables (i.e. the dependent constructs within the model) (Hair et al., 2016). R^2 is calculated as the squared correlation between the actual and predicted values for a given endogenous construct and ranges from 0 to 1, with higher levels of R^2 indicating greater predictive accuracy. Research literature has shown that there is no general consensus on the acceptable value of the coefficient of determination R^2 . It has been argued that an R^2 may be considered high in one field and considered weak in another field. For instance, Hair *et al.* (2014b) stressed that in the field of consumer behaviour, an R^2 of 0.2 is considered high, while in other fields, an R^2 value of 0.25 is considered weak, 0.5 as moderate and 0.75 and above as substantial.

|--|

Endogenous constructs	Exogenous Constructs	Adjusted R ²
Behavioural Intention	Performance expectancy	0.505
	Effort expectancy	
	Social influence	
	Facilitating conditions	

Table 10 shows the adjusted R^2 of Actual use of e-learning and Behavioural intention. Actual use has an R^2 of 0.507, indicating that about 50.7 % of the variance in the actual use of e-learning is explained by

behavioural intention. Behavioural intention, on the other hand, has an R^2 of 0.505 indicating that about 50.5 percent of the variance in Behavioural intention is explained by the exogenous constructs performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating conditions.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The researcher first attempts to investigate the level of each elements of the UTAUT (performance expectancy, effort expectancy social influence and facilitating condition) toward using e-learning. The results show that the cumulative mean score of respondents' performance expectancy towards e-learning is 3.19, indicating a mean score above the average mean on a 5point Likert scale. Venkatesh et al. (2003) defined performance expectancy as the extent to which an individual believes that using a system will help him or her attains gains in job performance. In the context of this study, performance expectancy refers to the student's belief that using e-learning will be beneficial and interesting in achieving high performance in learning. Thus, an average mean score of 3.19 for performance expectancy indicates that students have a positive view and the belief that e-learning will be beneficial and interesting to them in yielding high performances in learning. likewise, the mean and standard deviation of respondents' effort expectancy towards elearning showed. Venkatesh et al. (2003) defined effort expectancy as the "degree of ease associated with the use of a system. In the context of this study, effort expectancy refers to students' belief that using elearning in facilitating their learning will be easy for them, i.e. it will require little effort. Results show that the average mean for effort expectancy is 3.30, indicating that students perceive that using e-learning in their learning will require little effort.

Additionally, facilitating conditions is defined as the degree to which an individual believes that organizational and technical infrastructure exists to support the use of a system (Venkatesh *et al.*, 2003). Hence, in this study, facilitating conditions is regarded as the accessibility of an environment and appropriate learning infrastructure within the university that can foster the use of the technologies being conditions Such include considered. individuals' knowledge and skills and an environment that stimulates and supports students' willingness to use e-learning. it also shows that the average mean score for facilitating conditions is 3.29, indicating that respondents perceive that the organizational and technical infrastructure including the knowledge and skills as well as the enabling environment that supports and stimulates students' willingness to use e-learning was provided. Hence, social influence, according to Venkatesh et al. (2003) is the extent to which an individual perceives that important social groups or elements believe that such individual should use the new system. In this study, social influence refers to the influence and support from people such as friends, peers, social cycle. educators. management of universities as well as academic administrators to use e-learning as part of their learning tools. It shows that the average means for social influence as 3.27, indicating that students believe that they received support from relevant management and academic groups, peers, educators etc., on using e-learning as part of their learning process.

Furthermore, the mean and standard deviation of students' behavioural intention towards e-learning. An average mean score of 3.30 was recorded for student's behavioural intention towards e-learning. Behavioural intention, according to Venkatesh et al. (2003), is defined as a person's subjective probability that he or she will perform the behaviour in question. In the context of this study, behavioural was conceptualized as intention the subjective probability that students will use e-learning as part of their learning. Hence, with an average mean of 3.30, results show that respondents are more inclined to use elearning as part of their learning activities.

Researcher also sought to determine the effect of performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, and facilitating condition on HCT student's behavioural intention to use e-learning. thus, findings from the analysis supportall the four hypothesis. Thus, it is concluded that performance expectancy has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use e-learning. Similarly, it was also concluded that effort expectancy has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use e-learning. Furthermore, findings from the analysis support the hypothesis; it was hence concluded that social influence has a positive effect on student's behavioural intention to use elearning. The study also examined the effect of facilitating conditions on student's behavioural intentions to use e-learning. Findings reveal that facilitating conditions positively influences student's behavioural intention to use e-learning. These results, in line with the finding of Salloum & Shaalan, (2018) who studied on the factors affecting students' acceptance of e-learning system in Higher Education used four elements from UTAUT model. They (Salloum & Shaalan) concluded that all important factors of behavioral intention to use e- learning system were reportedly found as the social influence, performance expectancy and facilitating conditions of learning. However, a significant impact on students' intention e-learning towards system was not suggested by the effort expectancy.

Contributions

This study was driven by the need to address the issues surrounding the adoption of e-learning by students in UAE HEIs. The literature of e-learning is still an emerging one, and prior studies have investigated issues relating to e-learning adoption in general business setting as well in a western and developed country context, but there is need to focus on aspects such as higher education and in a developing nation context. Hence, the overall contribution of this study is to provide a clearer understanding of the determinants that influence the acceptance of e-learning technology from a student's perspective faculty in selected HEIs in the UAE. The findings will be an addendum to the body of literature by reporting the direct effects of the determinants of e-learning adoption and behavior intention to use of the technology as well as provide a strong foundation that can be used to develop strategies for management and other stakeholders who are interested in successful implementation of e-learning that students can easily adopt and use. Hence, this research will also be of significance to the field of information systems and educational management as insights into the direct effect of the determinants e-learning adoption and the behavioural intention to use e-learning. This will enable the development of an adapted model of acceptance and use of technology, which in turn can be used to better enhance the deployment and implementation of elearning systems across HEIs in the UAE.

The study will also be beneficial to higher education stakeholders, university management and faculty members in providing the feedback needed to design efficient e-learning systems that curb the inhibiting role of language, culture, improperly designed user interfaces as well as accessibility issues. With this, effective elearning systems can be created to allow student to tap into the enormous potentials and benefits it has to offer. It can provide university management with the necessary insights needed to formulate e-learning policies and standards for more efficient implementation. On the part of faculty members, findings from the study can be used to plan for effective integration of elearning and contemporary teaching and learning, taking note of the major determinants of e-learning adoption from student's perspective.

Limitations

Findings from this study were established using a quantitative research approach, which uses numerical data to

make an inference from a sample to a population. While quantitative approaches are in themselves important research methodologies, they are limited in terms of providing deeper insights and understanding regarding the variables studied. Therefore, future studies can use mixed-methodology approaches in weighing the perceptions, ideas, and views of students and faculty members alike in understating the factors that affect e-learning adoption. The instruments used in the study were selfreported instruments that measured students' perceptions of the variables of the study. self-reported instruments could be argued to be proxy measures of perception and may introduce threats to the internal validity of the study. Perhaps a crossvalidated instrument could be used in future studies where two categories of the are surveyed respondent to provided perceptions regarding the variables measured. Another approach could be the use of experimental approaches to study firsthand the performance of participants regarding the measured variables.

REFERENCES

- Alhebsi, A., Pettaway, L., & Waller, L. (2015). A history of education in the United Arab Emirates and trucial sheikdoms. *The Global eLearning Journal*, 4(1), 1-6.
- Alsumait, A., & Al-Musawi, Z. S. (2013). Creative and Innovative E-Learning Using Interactive Storytelling. *International Journal of Pervasive Computing and Communications*, 9(3), 209-226.
- Alkaabi, S. A. R., Albion, P., & Childhood, E. (2016). Blended learning in the united arab emirates: development of an adaptability model.
- Al Shehhi, R. H. R., & Azam, S. F. (2019). Measuring The Mediating Role Of Project Management Between Total Quality Management And Organisational Success In Sultanate Of Oman. *European Journal of Human Resource Management Studies*.
- Ati, M., & Guessoum, N. (2014). E-Learning In United Arab Emirates, (October 2010).
- Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the Evaluation of Structural Equation Models.

Journal of the academy of marketing science, 16(1), 74-94.

- Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., Davis, I., & Wisner, B. (2004). At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters. *Routledge*.
- Boateng, R., Mbrokoh, A. S., Boateng, L., Senyo, P. K., & Ansong, E. (2016). Determinants of E-Learning Adoption among Students of Developing Countries. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 33(4), 248-262.
- Byrne, B. M. (2013). Structural Equation Modeling with Mplus: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming: Routledge.
- Chang, C. C., Tseng, K. H. and Tseng, J. S. (2011). Is Single or Dual Channel with Different English Proficiencies Better for English Listening Comprehension, Cognitive Load and Attitude in Ubiquitous Learning Environment? *Computers and Education*, 57, 2313-2321.
- Chauhan, S., & Jaiswal, M. (2016). Determinants of Acceptance of Erp Software Training in Business Schools: Empirical Investigation Using Utaut Model. *The International Journal of Management Education, 14*(3), 248-262.
- Chet Hosmer, Carlton Jeff coat, Matthew Davis, Thomas Mc Gibbon, 2011,Use of Mobile Technology for Information Collection and Dissemination, Data &Analysis Center for Software, UK.
- Crompton, H. (2013). A historical overview of mobile learning: Toward learner-centered education. In Z. L. Berge & L. Y. Muilenburg (Eds.), Handbook of mobile learning (pp. 3–14). *Florence, KY: Routledge*.
- D. Zhang,L. Zhou, R.O. Briggs &J.F. Jr. Nunamaker, (2010). Instructional video in m-learning: Assessing the impact of interactive video on learning effectiveness, Journal of Information &Management, Vol. 43 No (20), pp. 15–27.
- David, M., & Sutton, C. D. (2011). Social *Research: An Introduction:* Sage.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS quarterly*, 319-340.
- Davis, L. D., Bagozzi, R. P. and Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical

models. Management Science, 35, 8, 982-1002.

- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P. and Warshaw, P. R. (1992). Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation to Use Computers in the Workplace. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 22, 14, 1111–1132.
- Davis, J. S., Garcia, G. D., Jouria, J. M., Wyckoff, M. M., Alsafran, S., Graygo, J. M., Withum, K. F. and Schulman, C. I. (2013). Identifying Pitfalls in Chest Tube Insertion: Improving Teaching and Performance. Journal of Surgical Education, 70, 334-339.
- Dečman, M. (2015). Modeling the Acceptance of E-Learning in Mandatory Environments of Higher Education: The Influence of Previous Education and Gender. *Computers in human behavior, 49*, 272-281.
- Fang, S.-F. (2014). Using Utaut Model to Explore the User Behavior of E-Learning System in a Public Sector. In: Department of Communications Management.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error: Algebra and Statistics. In: SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., & Boudreau, M.-C. (2000). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of the association for information systems*, 4(1), 7.
- George, D., & Mallery, M. (2003). Using Spss for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference. *Boston, MA: Allyn y Bacon.[Links].*
- Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., & Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge Management: An Organizational Capabilities Perspective. *Journal of management information systems*, 18(1), 185-214.
- Götz, O., Liehr-Gobbers, K., & Krafft, M. (2010). Evaluation of Structural Equation Models Using the Partial Least Squares (Pls) Approach. In *Handbook of Partial Least Squares* (pp. 691-711): Springer.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). Pls-Sem: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139-152.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwieser, V. G. (2014a). Partial Least

Squares Structural Equation Modeling (Pls-Sem) an Emerging Tool in Business Research. *European Business Review*, 26(2), 106-121.

- Hair, J. F. J., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwieser, G. V. (2014b). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (Pls-Sem) an Emerging Tool in Business Research. *European Business Review*, 26(2), 106-121.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (Pls-Sem): Sage publications.
- Hew, T.-S., & Kadir, S. L. S. A. (2016). Behavioural Intention in Cloud-Based Vle: An Extension to Channel Expansion Theory. *Computers in human behavior, 64*, 9-20.
- Horton, W. (2011). E-learning by design. John Wiley & Sons.
- Horton, W. K. (2012). Designing web-based training: How to teach anyone anything anywhere anytime (Vol. 1). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Hsu, Y. C. and Ching, Y. H. (2012). Mobile Microblogging: Using Twitter and Mobile Devices in an Online Course to Promote Learning in Authentic Contexts. International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 13, 211-227.
- Hsu, H.-H. (2012). The Acceptance of Moodle: An Empirical Study Based on Utaut. *Creative Education*, *3*, 44.
- Ifenthaler, D., & Schweinbenz, V. (2013). The Acceptance of Tablet-Pcs in Classroom Instruction: The Teachers' Perspectives. *Computers in human behavior*, 29(3), 525-534.
- Isaac, O., Abdullah, Z., Aldholay, A. H., & Ameen, A. A. (2019). Antecedents and Outcomes of Internet Usage within Organisations in Yemen: An Extension of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (Utaut) Model. *Asia Pacific Management Review*.
- Iran, H. M. K. (2011). A Study on Educational Technology in Dubai Challenges and Suggested Solutions.
- Kline, R. B. (2015). *Principles and Practice* of *Structural Equation Modeling*: Guilford publications.
- Lakhal, S., & Khechine, H. (2016). Student Intention to Use Desktop Web-

Conferencing According to Course Delivery Modes in Higher Education. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 14(2), 146-160.

- Levis, P., Lee, N., Welsh, M., & Culler, D. (2012). TOSSIM: Accurate and scalable simulation of entire Tinyos applications. In Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Embedded networked sensor systems (pp. 126-137). ACM.
- Lowe, R. K., & Boucheix, J.-M. (2016). Principled Animation Design Improves Comprehension of Complex Dynamics. *Learning and Instruction*, 45, 72-84.
- Luzón, J. M., & Letón, E. (2015). Use of Animated Text to Improve the Learning of Basic Mathematics. *Computers & Education*, 88, 119-128.
- Macharia, A. W. (2011). *Towards Adoption* of Electronic Learning: An Empirical Investigation of Faculty Behavioral Intentions. Capella University.
- Mahande, R. D., & Malago, J. D. (2019). An E-Learning Acceptance Evaluation through Utaut Model in a Postgraduate Program. *Journal of educators online*, *16*(2).
- Mahdizadeh, H., Biemans, H., & Mulder, M. (2008). Determining Factors of the Use of E-Learning Environments by University Teachers. *Computers & Education*, 51(1), 142-154.
- Memon, A. H., & Rahman, I. A. (2013). Analysis of Cost Overrun Factors for Small Scale Construction Projects in Malaysia Using Pls-Sem Method. *Modern applied science*, 7(8), 78.
- MostafaAl-Emran, Hatem M. Elsherif, Khaled Shaalan, 2016, Investigatingattitudes towards the use of mobile learning in higher education, *Elsiver Journal*, Vol (56) No. (93)
- Ozkan, S., Koseler, R., & Baykal, N. (2009). Evaluating Learning Management Systems: Adoption of Hexagonal E-Learning Assessment Model in Higher Education. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 3*(2), 111-130.
- Pallant, J. (2011a). Spss Survival Manual 4th Edition: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using Spss Version 18. *Maidenhead, Berkshire: Open University Press. Retrieved on from.*

- Raman, A., Don, Y., Khalid, R., & Rizuan, M. (2014). Usage of Learning Management System (Moodle) among Postgraduate Students: Utaut Model. *Asian Social Science*, 10(14), 186.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., & Memon, M. A. (2016). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (Pls-Sem) Using Smartpls 3.0: An Updated and Practical Guide to Statistical Analysis. In: Pearson Singapore.
- Ruohotie, P. (2012). Professional growth and development. In International handbook of educational leadership and administration (pp. 419-445). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Salloum, S. A., Mhamdi, C., Al Kurdi, B., & Shaalan, K. (2018). Factors affecting the Adoption and Meaningful Use of Social Media: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *International Journal of Information Technology*, 2(3), 96-109.
- Smith, M. E., & Farah, M. J. (2011). Are prescription stimulants "smart pills"? The epidemiology and cognitive neuroscience of prescription stimulant use by normal healthy individuals. *Psychological bulletin*, 137(5), 717.
- Stebner, F., Kühl, T., Höffler, T. N., Wirth, J., & Ayres, P. (2017). The Role of Process Information in Narrations While Learning with Animations and Static Pictures. *Computers & Education, 104*, 34-48.
- Strijbos, J. W., Martens, R. L., &Jochems, W. M. (2009). Designing for interaction: Six steps to designing computer-supported group-based learning. Computers & Education, 42(4), 403-424Suki, N. M., & Suki, N. M. (2010). Examining Students'attitudes to the Mobile Phone as an Educational Tool. Journal of Education Research, 4(1).
- Suki, N. M., & Suki, N. M. (2017). Determining Students' Behavioural Intention to Use Animation and Storytelling Applying the Utaut Model: The Moderating Roles of Gender and Experience Level. *The International Journal of Management Education, 15*(3), 528-538.
- Šumak, B., Polancic, G., & Hericko, M. (2010). An Empirical Study of Virtual Learning Environment Adoption Using Utaut. Paper presented at the 2010 Second

international conference on mobile, hybrid, and on-line learning.

- Šumak, B., & Šorgo, A. (2016). The Acceptance and Use of Interactive Whiteboards among Teachers: Differences in Utaut Determinants between Pre-and Post-Adopters. *Computers in human behavior, 64,* 602-620.
- Suwaidi, M. Al. (2019). The Effects of Online Formative and Summative Assessment on Test Anxiety and Performance: A Study Among First-Year Undergraduate Students at A Higher Education Institution in Abu Dhabi , United Arab Emirates, (April).
- Tarhini, A., Masa'deh, R. e., Al-Busaidi, K. A., Mohammed, A. B., & Maqableh, M. (2017). Factors Influencing Students' Adoption of E-Learning: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Journal of International Education in Business, 10*(2), 164-182.
- Tavangarian, D., Leypold, M.E., Nölting, K., Röser, M. & Voigt, D. (2010). Is eLearning the Solution for Individual Learning? Electronic Journal of e-Learning, Vol. 2 (2), pp. 273-280.
- Tenenhaus, M., Amato, S., & Esposito Vinzi, V. (2004). A Global Goodness-of-Fit Index for Pls Structural Equation Modelling. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the XLII SIS scientific meeting.
- Tosuntaş, Ş. B., Karadağ, E., & Orhan, S. (2015). The Factors Affecting Acceptance

and Use of Interactive Whiteboard within the Scope of Fatih Project: A Structural Equation Model Based on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *Computers & Education*, 81, 169-178.

- Van Raaij, E. M., & Schepers, J. J. (2008). The Acceptance and Use of a Virtual Learning Environment in China. *Computers* & *Education*, 50(3), 838-852.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B. and Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27, 3, 425–478.
- Wirba Singeh, F., Abrizah, A., & Harun Abdul Karim, N. (2013). Malaysian Authors' Acceptance to Self-Archive in Institutional Repositories: Towards a Unified View. *The Electronic Library*, *31*(2), 188-207.
- Yakubu, M. N., & Dasuki, S. I. (2019). Factors Affecting the Adoption of E-Learning Technologies among Higher Education Students in Nigeria: A Structural Equation Modelling Approach. *Information Development*, 35(3), 492-502.
- Zahran, R., Khaimah, R. Al, & Pettaway, L. D. (2016). Educational Leadership: Challenges in United Arab Emirates, 5(1), 1–8.

How to cite this article: Alblooshi S, Abdul Hamid NAB. An empirical investigation of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology in e-learning adoption in higher education institutions in the UAE. International Journal of Research and Review. 2019; 6(11):133-147.
