Parascan AI Prediction

Dr. A. Karunamurthy¹, E. Mohanapriya²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Computer Applications, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Engineering College (Autonomous), Puducherry 605008, India ²Post Graduate student, Department of Computer Applications, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Engineering College (Autonomous), Puducherry 605008, India

Corresponding Author: E. Mohanapriya

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20250618

ABSTRACT

Malaria continues to be a major global health challenge, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. Early and accurate detection of malaria is critical for effective treatment and disease control. Traditional diagnosis through microscopic examination of blood smears is labor-intensive, timeconsuming, and often prone to human error. To address these challenges, this project proposes an automated malaria detection system based on deep learning techniques. A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model was developed and trained on a publicly available dataset containing microscopic images of parasitized and uninfected blood cells. The model preprocesses the images, extracts meaningful features, and classifies them with high accuracy. Through rigorous training and evaluation. the system demonstrated significant potential in assisting healthcare professionals by providing rapid, reliable, and scalable diagnostic support. This project highlights the effectiveness of applying AI and deep learning to medical image analysis and opens avenues for developing accessible diagnostic tools, particularly for resourcelimited settings.

Keywords: Malaria Detection, Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Medical Image Analysis, Automated Diagnosis, Microscopic Blood Smear Images, Image Classification, Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare, Computer-Aided Diagnosis, Diagnostic Support System

1.INTRODUCTION

Malaria is a life-threatening disease caused by Plasmodium parasites, transmitted to humans through the bites of infected female Anopheles mosquitoes. Despite significant progress in malaria control and elimination efforts, the disease remains a major public health concern, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), hundreds of millions of cases are reported annually, with a substantial number resulting in death, especially among children under five and pregnant women.

Accurate and timely diagnosis is crucial for effective treatment and reducing the disease burden. Traditionally, malaria diagnosis involves microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained blood smears—a process that requires skilled technicians, is timeconsuming, and is susceptible to human error, especially in high-burden or lowresource settings.

To address these challenges, automated and intelligent diagnostic solutions are being explored. Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), particularly deep learning, have shown promising results in medical image analysis. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), a class of deep learning models, have demonstrated exceptional performance in image recognition tasks and are increasingly being applied to healthcare applications.

This research proposes an automated malaria detection system based on a CNN model trained on a publicly available dataset containing microscopic images of parasitized and uninfected red blood cells. The system aims to assist healthcare professionals by providing a fast, reliable, and scalable tool for malaria diagnosis, reducing dependency on manual methods and improving diagnostic accuracy in resource-limited environments.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The manual detection of malaria through microscopic examination of blood smears is a time-consuming and labour-intensive process, often prone to human error, leading to delayed diagnosis and improper treatment. With the increasing global burden of malaria, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions, there is an urgent need for faster, more accurate, and scalable diagnostic methods. Traditional diagnostic techniques face challenges such as variability in diagnostic accuracy, the requirement for skilled personnel, and the limited accessibility of diagnostic tools in resourcepoor settings. To address these issues, this project proposes an automated malaria detection system using deep learning specifically techniques. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). The system aims to accurately classify blood smear images as parasitized or uninfected, providing a rapid, reliable, and scalable solution that can assist healthcare professionals in diagnosing malaria with higher precision, particularly in areas with limited access to advanced medical facilities. This automated approach seeks to reduce human error, improve diagnostic turnaround time, and enhance the accessibility of malaria detection. contributing to more effective disease controland treatment.

3. LITERATURE SURVEY

Malaria detection has traditionally relied on labour-intensive and error-prone microscopic examination of blood smears, which can delay treatment and contribute to misdiagnosis. In recent years, deep learning techniques, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have emerged as promising solutions for automating and enhancing malaria detection. Rajaraman et al. (2018) demonstrated the effectiveness of pre-trained CNN models, such as VGG-16 and Res Net, for feature extraction from blood smear images, showing that finetuning these models improved classification accuracy. Similarly, Dong et al. (2017) evaluated CNNs on large-scale datasets of parasitized and uninfected cells, revealing that these models consistently outperformed traditional methods and offered a reliable alternative for rapid diagnosis. Bibin et al. (2017) explored the use of Deep Belief Networks (DBNs) for malaria parasite detection, highlighting the early potential of deep learning in medical image classification. Furthermore, Poostchi et al. (2018) reviewed the evolution of machine learning techniques for malaria detection, emphasizing the shift from classical algorithms to deep learning and its advantages in automated feature extraction. The Kaggle Malaria Dataset, provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), has become a benchmark for training and evaluating malaria detection models. enabling standardized comparisons across studies. Silva et al. (2019) further advanced this field by developing a CNN-based pipeline that demonstrated over 95% accuracy in real-time malaria detection, showcasing its potential for use in point-ofcare settings, especially in resource-limited areas. These studies collectively underscore the significant potential of deep learning in transforming malaria diagnosis, offering a faster, more accurate, and scalable alternative to traditional methods, particularly in lowresource regions where manual diagnosis is often infeasible.

4. PROPOSED TECHNIQUE

Step 1: Data Preparation:

- Data Collection: A publicly available malaria dataset from NIH/Kaggle was used, containing 27,558 microscopic images of parasitized and uninfected red blood cells.
- Data Preprocessing: Images were resized, normalized, and labelled. Data augmentation (rotation, flipping, zooming) was applied to increase diversity. The dataset was split into training (80%), validation (10%), and testing (10%) sets.
- **Model Architecture:** A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) was built with convolutional, pooling, dropout, and fully connected layers. The final layer uses a sigmoid activation for binary classification.
- **Training & Validation:** The model was trained using binary cross-entropy loss and Adam optimizer. Performance was evaluated using accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score on the validation set.
- **Prediction & Deployment:** The trained model classifies new blood smear images as parasitized or uninfected. It can be deployed in web or mobile applications to assist in malaria diagnosis.

Step 2: System Architecture

- Input Layer: Receives microscopic blood smear images in RGB format (e.g., 128x128x3). Validates and standardizes input size Feeds images into the preprocessing pipeline.
- **Backend Processing:** Performs resizing, normalization, and data augmentation Splits data into training, validation, and test sets Manages model training using deep learning frameworks.
- Feature Extraction Layer: Applies convolutional filters to detect patterns and features Uses ReLU activation for non-linearity Pooling layers reduce dimensionality and retain key information.
- Classification Layer: Fully connected layers process extracted features Dropout

layers prevent overfitting during training Final sigmoid layer outputs probability of infection.

• **Result Layer:** Interprets the sigmoid output as binary classification Displays whether the cell is parasitized or uninfected Supports integration into web/mobile diagnostic tools.

Step 3: Training the Model

Training the CNN Model (Short Version)

The CNN model is trained on blood smear images to classify them as parasitized or uninfected. It passes images through layers (convolution, activation, pooling), learns features, and uses a sigmoid layer to predict probabilities. The model is optimized using binary cross-entropy loss, backpropagation, and the Adam optimizer.

CNN Formula:

Z = (X * W) + bWhere: X = image patchW = filter/kernelb = biasZ = output feature map value

Example Calculation:

Let's say we have: Input Patch (X): [1 2 1] [0 1 0] [2 1 2] Kernel (W): [1 0 -1]: [1 0 -1] [1 0 -1] Bias (b): 0 Now, perform the convolution (element-wise multiply and sum):

 $Z = (1 \times 1 + 2 \times 0 + 1 \times -1) + (0 \times 1 + 1 \times 0 + 0 \times -1) + (2 \times 1 + 1 \times 0 + 2 \times -1)$

Z = (1 + 0 - 1) + (0 + 0 + 0) + (2 + 0 - 2) = 0Probability Using Sigmoid Function:

After passing through the network, the final layer uses the sigmoid function to convert the output (logit) into a probability:

Sigmoid formula: $P = 1 / (1 + e^{-z})$

Suppose the final output (z) from the network is 1.2:

 $P = 1 / (1 + e^{(-1.2)}) \approx 1 / (1 + 0.301) \approx 0.768$ This means there's a 76.8% probability that the cell is parasitized. If the probability is above a threshold (e.g., 0.5), it's classified as infected

Step 4: Saving the Model / Data

After training, the model is saved to avoid retraining every time. Using .h5 format, it stores the model structure, weights, and training configuration Pickle is used to save any supporting data like label encoders. These saved files make the model easy to reuse and deploy in applications. Post-processing is done after the model makes a prediction. The output probability from the sigmoid function is converted into a label (e.g., Infected if > 0.5, Uninfected if \leq 0.5). The result is then formatted for display in a user interface or report. This step ensures predictions are human-readable and ready for use by healthcare professionals or systems. This project focuses on developing an automated malaria detection system using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN). It addresses the limitations of traditional microscopic diagnosis, such as human error and time consumption. The model is trained classify blood smear images into to parasitized or uninfected categories using a deep learning approach.



Fig 1: Parascan AI Prediction System Architecture

The CNN architecture processes input images through layers that extract meaningful features and then classifies them using a sigmoid function. During training, binary cross-entropy loss and the Adam optimizer are used to improve accuracy. The model is evaluated using metrics like accuracy and F1-score, and it performs well in identifying infected cells with high precision. Post-training, the model and preprocessing tools are saved for future use. Predictions are post-processed by converting probability scores into readable labels, aiding in realtime diagnosis. This system shows strong potential for deployment in healthcare environments, especially in resource-limited settings where quick and accurate detection is crucial.

5. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Step 5: post-Processing

| | SampleID | consent_given | location | Enrollment_Year | bednet | fever_symptom | temperature | Suspected_Organism | Suspected_infection | RDT | platelet_coun |
|------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------------|--------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 0 | CCS20043 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 38.0 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 156. |
| 1 | CCS20102 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 38.2 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 55. |
| 2 | CCS20106 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 37.7 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 20. |
| 3 | CCS20147 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 37.7 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 132. |
| 4 | CCS20170 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 37.1 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 85. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2202 | KC366 | yes | Kintampo | 2017 | yes | No | 37.1 | Bacteria/Protozoa | Malaria/LRTI | Positive | 277. |
| 2203 | KC368 | yes | Kintampo | 2017 | no | No | 36.7 | Bacteria/Protozoa | Helminthiasis | Negative | 340. |
| 2204 | KC369 | yes | Kintampo | 2017 | yes | No | 36.4 | Bacteria | Dermatitis | Negative | 300. |
| 2205 | KC370 | yes | Kintampo | 2017 | yes | No | 37.4 | Not Known / Missing entry | URTI | Negative | 136. |
| 2206 | KC375 | yes | Kintampo | 2017 | yes | No | 36.4 | Protozoan | Instetinal flagellates | Negative | 272. |

2207 rows × 34 columns

Fig 2: Data Collection

The first stage of this project involved the acquisition and preprocessing of the clinical malaria dataset in CSV format. The dataset, consisting of various haematological parameters and diagnosis labels, was thoroughly inspected for missing values. To address these inconsistencies, a K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) imputer was employed to estimate and fill missing values based on similarity between records. In cases where imputation was unsuitable, affected rows were removed to maintain data integrity. Categorical features were encoded using techniques such as label encoding and onehot encoding to convert them into numerical representations suitable for model training. Additionally, numerical features were normalized using scaling techniques like Standard Scaler and Min Max Scaler to ensure uniform feature distributions and prevent model bias. Derived features were also created to enhance model learning, such as calculating the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, a key indicator in infection diagnosis. These preprocessing steps ensured a clean, consistent, and informative dataset ready for modelling.

| | SampleID | consent_given | location | Enrollment_Year | bednet | fever_symptom | temperature | Suspected_Organism | Suspected_infection | RDT | platelet_count | pl |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------------|--------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|----|
| 0 | CCS20043 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 38.0 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 156.0 | |
| 1 | CCS20102 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 38.2 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 55.0 | |
| 2 | CCS20106 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 37.7 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 20.0 | |
| 3 | CCS20147 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 37.7 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 132.0 | |
| 4 | CCS20170 | yes | Navrongo | 2004 | NaN | Yes | 37.1 | Not Known / Missing entry | NaN | Positive | 85.0 | |
| 5 rows × 34 columns | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig 3: Feature Selection

To enhance model performance and reduce computational complexity, feature selection was carried out using statistical methods. Specifically, the Select K Best technique with ANOVA F-test was utilized to identify the most significant features contributing to the target variable. This statistical method assesses the relationship between each feature and the label, selecting those with the strongest association. Visualizations such as bar plots and heatmaps were generated using matplotlib and seaborn libraries to illustrate the importance of each selected feature. This step provided insights into which clinical markers had the greatest predictive value for malaria diagnosis, thereby aiding in the interpretability and efficiency of subsequent machine learning models.

Dr. A. Karunamurthy et.al. Parascan AI prediction



In this module, the cleaned and featureselected data was split into training and testing sets using an 80:20 ratio to ensure unbiased evaluation. Several classical machine learning models were implemented and trained to classify malaria infection based on clinical features. These models included Logistic Regression, Random Forest Classifier, Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM), and Support Vector Machines (SVM). Each model was trained on the training set and tested on unseen data to evaluate generalization performance. The diversity of algorithms ensured that both linear and non-linear relationships within the data could be effectively captured, offering a comprehensive view of potential predictive capabilities.



Fig 5: Hyperparameter Tuning

To improve the performance of the machine learning models, hyperparameter tuning was carried out using Grid Search CV. This technique systematically searched through combinations of parameters to find the optimal configuration for each model. For instance, parameters like the number of trees and depth in the Random Forest model were fine-tuned. Cross-validation was employed during this process to ensure that the models generalize well across different data subsets. The best hyperparameters were identified based on cross-validation accuracy, and these optimal models were subsequently used for final evaluation. This step played a crucial role in maximizing model accuracy and robustness.



Fig 6: Evaluation and Visualization

Each trained model was thoroughly using standard classification evaluated metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Confusion matrices were visualize generated to the model's performance in terms of true positives, false positives, true negatives, and false negatives. Additionally, the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) values were plotted to assess the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity. Visualizations were crafted using seaborn and matplotlib to make comparisons intuitive and highlight model strengths and weaknesses. This comprehensive evaluation provided a clear understanding of which models performed best under different conditions.



Fig 7: Deep Learning Model

A deep learning approach was also explored by developing a neural network using the K eras framework. The clinical malaria dataset was preprocessing similarly, including normalization and one-hot encoding of categorical target variables for multi-class classification. The dataset was then divided into training, validation, and testing sets to allow for performance monitoring during training. A Sequential model was constructed with multiple hidden layers activated using the ReLU function, and a soft max output layer suitable for multi-class classification. This architecture allowed the model to learn complex, non-linear patterns in the data, providing an alternative and potentially superior prediction mechanism compared to classical models.



Fig 8: Analysis of Neural Network

The neural network model was trained using the training dataset while monitoring its performance on the validation set across multiple epochs. The training history, including accuracy and loss, was recorded and visualized. Plots depicting training versus validation accuracy and loss were generated to diagnose overfitting or underfitting and to observe the learning progress. This analysis enabled adjustments to be made to the network structure, such as layer size or learning rate, ensuring better generalization and stability during learning. The final model was then tested on unseen data to assess its predictive capability.

```
import pandas as pd
                                                                                import pandas as pd
import joblib
                                                                                import joblib
# Load the model and scaler
                                                                               # Load the model and scaler
model = joblib.load('malaria_svc_model.pkl')
                                                                               model = joblib.load('malaria_svc_model.pkl')
scaler = joblib.load('scaler.pkl')
                                                                               scaler = joblib.load('scaler.pkl')
# Convert to DataFrame
                                                                               # Convert to DataFrame
input_df = pd.DataFrame([new_patient_data])
                                                                               input df = pd.DataFrame([new patient_data])
# Scale the input
                                                                               # Scale the input
input_scaled = scaler.transform(input_df)
                                                                                input_scaled = scaler.transform(input_df)
# Predict
                                                                                # Predict
prediction = model.predict(input_scaled)
                                                                                prediction = model.predict(input_scaled)
# Show result
                                                                                # Show result
result = 'Parasitized (Infected)' if prediction[0] == 1 else 'Uninfected'
                                                                                result = 'Parasitized (Infected)' if prediction[0] == 1 else 'Uninfected'
print("Prediction:", result)
                                                                                print("Prediction:", result)
Prediction: Uninfected
```

Prediction: Parasitized (Infected) Fig 9: Final Evaluation and Comparison

The final stage of the project involved a comparative evaluation between traditional machine learning models and the deep learning neural network. Metrics such as accuracy, ROC-AUC scores, and confusion matrices were used to determine the most effective approach for malaria prediction. The comparative analysis revealed the strengths and limitations of each model type.

For instance, while deep learning offered improved accuracy in some cases, models like Random Forest and Gradient Boosting provided faster training times and greater interpretability. The best-performing model was selected based on a balanced consideration of accuracy, efficiency, and usability. The project concluded with a summary of findings and recommendations for deployment in real-world clinical settings or further academic research.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE ENHANCEMENT

The automated malaria detection system developed using deep learning, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), presents a transformative solution in medical diagnostics. By accurately classifying parasitized and uninfected red blood cells from microscopic images, the system offers high diagnostic precision, minimizes human error, and enhances healthcare delivery in regions with limited access to expert professionals. With comprehensive preprocessing, performance evaluation, and a user-friendly interface, the system demonstrates robustness, transparency, and ease of use-making it suitable for deployment in both clinical and remote settings. This innovation underscores

the powerful role of artificial intelligence in addressing critical public health challenges and offers a scalable, cost-effective approach to reduce the global malaria burden.

Looking ahead, the system holds immense potential for future enhancements. Adopting advanced architectures such as attention mechanisms or Vision Transformers could further elevate classification accuracy and adaptability. Expanding the dataset with images from diverse geographical and clinical sources will strengthen model generalizability. Integration with smartphone-connected microscopes can enable real-time. on-site diagnostics. especially in resource-constrained areas. To foster user trust and comply with regulatory standards, robust data privacy measures, including encryption and anonymization, should be incorporated. Enhancements such multilingual support, voice-guided as operation, and cloud-based deployment will boost accessibility, scalability, and centralized monitoring. Real-time analytics dashboards can aid public health decisionmaking, while reinforcement learning can ensure continuous model improvement. Strategic partnerships with medical institutions and NGOs will support widescale validation and adoption. These advancements will enhance the system's impact, ensuring it serves as a vital tool in the global fight against malaria, in alignment with efforts toward early detection, prevention, and equitable healthcare access.

Declaration by Authors

Acknowledgement: None Source of Funding: None

Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest declared.

REFERENCES

- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539
- Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). Deep Learning. MIT Press.
- 3. Ruder, S. (2016). An overview of gradient descent optimization algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.04747.
- Chandrashekar, G., & Sahin, F. (2014). A survey on feature selection techniques. Computers & Electrical Engineering, 40(1), 16–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2013. 11.024
- Rajaraman, S., Antani, S. K. (2020). Weakly labelled data augmentation for deep learning: A case study on lung nodule classification. BMC Medical Imaging, 20(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12880-020-00504-1
- Liang, G., & Zheng, L. (2019). A transfer learning method with deep residual network for paediatric pneumonia diagnosis. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 187, 104964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2019.105964
- Das, D. K., Ghosh, M., Pal, M., & Maiti, A. K. (2020). Machine learning for detection of malaria parasites in blood smears: A review. Journal of Parasitic Diseases, 44(2), 265– 278. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-020-01209
- Bibin, D., Nair, M. S., & Punitha, P. (2017). Malaria parasite detection from peripheral blood smear images using deep belief networks. IEEE Access, 5, 9099–9108. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2707 489

- Liang, Z., Powell, A., Ersoy, I., & Poostchi, M. CNN-based image analysis for malaria diagnosis. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 175, 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2019.04.01
- 10. WHO. (2022). World Malaria Report 2022. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int
- Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., et al. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Medical Image Analysis, 42, 60–88.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005
- Shen, D., Wu, G., & Suk, H. I. (2017). Deep learning in medical image analysis. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 19, 221– 248. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevbioeng-071516-044442
- Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., et al. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature, 542(7639), 115–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056
- 14. Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Zhu, K., et al. (2017). CheXNet: Radiologist-level pneumonia detection on chest X-rays with deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05225. https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05225
- Acharya, U. R., Fujita, H., Lih, O. S., et al. (2019). Automated detection of arrhythmias using different intervals of tachycardia ECG segments with convolutional neural network. Information Sciences, 405, 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.04.012

- Rahman, M. M., & Antani, S. K. (2021). Deep learning-based disease detection in chest X-ray images using convolutional neural networks. Diagnostics, 11(1), 109. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11010109
- Yang, S., Jiang, L., & Luo, C. (2020). Deep learning for detecting malaria parasites in thick blood smears. IEEE Access, 8, 94936– 94946. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2995 361
- Poostchi, M., Silamut, K., Maude, R. J., et al. (2018). Image analysis and machine learning for detecting malaria. Translational Research, 194, 36–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2017.12.004
- Yadav, P., & Sinha, G. R. (2020). Detection of malaria parasites using digital image processing and deep learning. Procedia Computer Science, 167, 2121–2128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.03.261
- Rajaraman, S., Antani, S. K., & Thoma, G. R. (2018). Pre-trained convolutional neural networks as feature extractors toward improved malaria parasite detection in thin blood smear images. PeerJ, 6, e4568. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4568

How to cite this article: A. Karunamurthy, E. Mohanapriya. Parascan AI prediction. *International Journal of Research and Review*. 2025; 12(6): 144-153. DOI: *https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20250618*
