Feasibility Analysis of Lake Toba Coastal Roads in Simalungun Regency

Mahliza Nasution

Universitas Mesan Area, Medan, Indonesia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230336

ABSTRACT

The success of development is inseparable from the active participation of the transportation sector. Where the transportation sector is the lifeblood of economic, social, cultural, political and defense-security. The development of the transportation sector is directed at realizing a transportation system that is reliable, highly capable and organized in an integrated, orderly, smooth and comfortable manner; can drive the dynamics of development, the mobility of goods, people and services all at once. Land transportation, one of which is the Road, is a connecting infrastructure by land that is used for human and goods traffic from one place to another. In addition to the aspect of spatial planning activities, the road network has the benefit of directing the growth of an area it traverses, as stated in Regional Regulation no. 10 of 2012 concerning Spatial Planning for the Simalungun Regency, stipulates a transportation network structure plan that is intended to direct the distribution of transportation services and the development of the Simalungun Regency area. At present it is felt that the road on the coast of Lake Toba, Simalungun Regency, is still unable to serve traffic smoothly and safely. There are still locations for these passages that are felt to still need to be addressed to obtain adequate technical feasibility standards, there are still areas that cannot be accessed and have adequate facilities. Determining transportation the economic feasibility of a road maintenance program, it is necessary to analyze the feasibility features economy. In this study, what will be discussed is the feasibility of a road maintenance project with the help of the HDM – III program, then analyzing the output of the program in the form of an analysis of Benefit Cost Ratio, Net Present Value, and Internal Rate of Return. The results of using the HDM-III model show that

based on the analysis of road damage, handling with scenario 3 produces better functional road conditions compared to other scenarios. The economic analysis also shows that scenario 3 is more economically feasible than the other scenarios, with minimum maintenance costs and maximum benefits in the form of vehicle operating costs, this is indicated by the NPV, BCR, and IRR values.

Keywords: Feasibility Study, HDM – III, Vehicle Operating Costs, Time Value, NPV, BCR, IRR, Missing Link Planning.

INTRODUCTION

With good facilities and infrastructure, the economy of Simalungun Regency, especially on the shores of Lake Toba, can grow and develop and stimulate growth in other fields. In order to carry out the improvement of the road network, a study is needed in the form of a Feasibility Study in order to identify the need for handling as well as the sections that are feasible to handle. With the feasibility study, it is hoped that all analysis related to technical, economic and work related to it will be obtained, in the context of carrying out studies and handling plans that need to be carried out. Recommendations from this study are expected to be used as material for policy makers in determining implementation strategies that involve technical, economic, environmental and management programs.

Simalungun is the third largest district after Mandailing Natal Regency and Langkat Regency in North Sumatra and has a strategic location and is in the tourist area of Lake Toba - Parapat. Simalungun Regency is located at an altitude between 0 and 1400 meters above sea level, located between 02036' - 030 18' North Latitude and between 980 32' - 990 35' East Longitude. The total area of Simalungun Regency is a land area of 4372.5 km2.

Administratively, Simalungun Regency has the following boundaries:

- North : Serdang Bedagai District
- South : Toba Samosir Regency
- East : Karo Regency
- West : Batubara District

Simalungun Regency consists of 32 subdistricts with the widest sub-district being Hatonduhuan District while the smallest is Haranggaol Horison District with an average distance to the district capital of 30 km where the farthest distance is Silou Kahean District 127 km and Ujung Padang District 113 km.

(Km ²) 1 Silimakuta 74,16 2 Pematang Silimakuta 79,68 3 Purba 172,71 4 Haranggaol Horison 40,97 5 Dolok Pardamean 67,90 6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,88 20 Tapian Dolok 119,88 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar </th <th></th>	
1 Silimakuta 74,16 2 Pematang Silimakuta 79,68 3 Purba 172,71 4 Haranggaol Horison 40,97 5 Dolok Pardamean 67,90 6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,85 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74	')
2 Pematang Silimakuta 79,68 3 Purba 172,71 4 Haranggaol Horison 40,97 5 Dolok Pardamean 67,90 6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,88 20 Tapian Dolok 119,88 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malea 96,74	j
3 Purba 172,71 4 Haranggaol Horison 40,97 5 Dolok Pardamean 67,90 6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malea 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39	5
4 Haranggaol Horison 40,97 5 Dolok Pardamean 67,90 6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,85 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,85 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 <td>'1</td>	'1
5 Dolok Pardamean 67,90 6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,22 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,85 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97	/
6 Sidamanik 80,88 7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,20 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16)
7 Pematang Sidamanik 137,80 8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 <td>~</td>	~
8 Girsang Sipangan Bolon 129,89 9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	30
9 Tanah Jawa 174,33 10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	s9
10 Hatonduhan 336,26 11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Malega 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	3
11 Dolok Paribuan 148,62 12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,85 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	6
12 Jorlang Hataran 93,70 13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,85 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	52
13 Panei 77,96 14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,85 20 Tapian Dolok 119,85 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22)
14 Panombean Panei 73,74 15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,88 20 Tapian Dolok 119,88 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	;
15 Raya 261,56 16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,88 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	-
16 Dolog Masagal 105,77 17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	6
17 Dolok Silou 302,66 18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	7
18 Silou Kahean 228,74 19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	i6
19 Raya Kahean 204,89 20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	4
20 Tapian Dolok 119,89 21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	39
21 Dolok Batu Nanggar 106,91 22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Malegas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	s9
22 Siantar 73,99 23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	1
23 Gunung Malela 96,74 24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22)
24 Gunung Maligas 51,39 25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar Masilam 91,22	-
25 Hutabayu Raja 191,43 26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22)
26 Jawa Maraja Bah Jambi 38,97 27 Pematang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	3
27 Pernatang Bandar 88,16 28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	/
28 Bandar Huluan 107,33 29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	;
29 Bandar 100,69 30 Bandar Masilam 91,22	3
30Bandar Masilam91,22	i9
31 Bosar Maligas 285,43	3
32 Ujung Padang 228,49	9
Simalungun 4.372,	2,50

Table 1. Area by District in Simalungun Regency 2020

Source: Simalungun Regency in Figures 2021

Figure 1. Topographic map



Likewise with the tourism potential, there are very prospects for developing and synergizing with the Central Government Program, namely the Lake Toba KSPN. Moreover, if all the roads on the coast of Lake Toba Simalungun can be connected properly, then the coast of Lake Toba Simalungun is no less beautiful than other areas based on the description above, then the road construction plan (missing link) in Simalungun Regency must be studied first which has the goal to see whether it is appropriate or not to implement. In addition, the construction of this road (missing link) will require costs, both in terms of construction and maintenance. And the construction of this road will have an impact on economic growth, the calculation of which is obtained from a comparison of the costs of building a road and its maintenance compared to time efficiency and fuel use. in terms of connectivity or integration of connecting roads to tourist areas, the points of the road that need to be handled immediately as in the submitted proposal, namely the repair of the Bage-Haranggaol-Tigaras-Sipolha Intersection road with a length of 50 kilometers. The road is a ring road in the coast of Lake Toba Simalungun. In addition, road repairs in the city of Parapat.

Research Purposes and Objectives

- 1. The purpose of this research is to conduct a study of the planned development of the coastal road of Lake Toba in order to support community movements to be more effective and efficient.
- 2. To take into account whether or not it is appropriate to handle/improve the new road in terms of various aspects and interests so that it can become input to policy makers in determining the investment strategy for the activities to be carried out.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Accessibility And Mobility

Accessibility is a measure of the convenience of how land-use locations interact with each other and how easy and difficult these locations are to be reached through the transportation system (Black, 1981).

Geometric Planning

In Government Regulation Number 34 of 2006 concerning Roads. Article 9 paragraph 3, states that the function of the road in the primary network system is divided into primary arteries, primary collectors, local primary, and primary environment. of roads Classification in Indonesia according to Highways in the Procedures for Geometric Planning of Intercity Roads (TPGJAK) No038/T/BM/1997.

Travel Encumbrance Analysis

The purpose of this loading process is to estimate the volume of traffic on road sections and at intersections if any, and to obtain an estimate of the cost. The travel assignment in this study is carried out directly from one location to another.

Basic Relationship between Volume, Speed and Density According to Tamin (2008), in a movement of traffic on the highway there are 3 (three) main variables used to describe the characteristics of traffic flow, namely:

- 1. Volume (Flow/Q), is defined as the number of vehicles that pass a certain review point on a road section per unit of time (vehicles/hour).
- 2. Speed (Speed/Us), is defined as the distance that can be travelled by a vehicle per unit time. The units commonly used are meters/second or kilometers/hour.
- 3. Density (D), defined as the number of vehicles per unit length of a particular road. The units used are vehicles/kilometers or vehicles/meters.

Regional Infrastructure Network System Plan

The plan for the infrastructure network system for the Simalungun Regency area includes transportation, energy, telecommunications and water resources infrastructure systems that integrate them and provide services for existing activity functions in the district area.

Main Infrastructure Network System Plan

What is meant by the main infrastructure network system is the regional transportation network. A good transportation network system is a very important aspect in the development of a region because it supports easy access from and to various directions, be it transportation infrastructure, transportation facilities, activity systems, movement systems or network systems. The pattern of movement of people and goods transport can be broadly divided into 2 (two) types, namely:

a. Traffic within local area/movement

Traffic within the regency area will move from city to city or from city to village and from village to village, and vice versa. Generally the movement of traffic flow starts from the village to the sub-district capital area, to the district capital with the intention of working, going to school and shopping. Thus the volume of traffic flow for this type of movement will increase, starting from villages, sub-district cities to district capitals, especially on weekends.

b. Traffic in and out of the area In particular, this traffic is coming from cities outside the Simalungun Regency area through existing roads.

Traffic Condition Analysis (Year 2020)

Analysis of traffic conditions in the existing conditions in 2020 can be done by loading traffic. Traffic loading analysis is carried out to determine the amount of load on roads and intersections. The loading model used is loading with the help of Vissim software. Economic Feasibility Calculation Analysis. At this feasibility calculation stage, three investment criteria are used, namely:

NPV (**Net Present Value**) is a calculation of profits in the form of money or non-financial received or felt by investors during the

economic life of the investment. If the NPV ≥ 0 , investment decisions can be continued.

IRR (Internal rate of return) is the amount of a certain discount rate that results in a value.

BCR (Benefit Cost Ratio) is a calculation of profit in the form of money or non-financial as long as the NPV is equal to 0. If IRR \geq bank loan interest rates, investment decisions can be continued.

Road Trace Determination Analysis

Analysis of Determining Road Traces is carried out to calculate the horizontal and vertical alignment sizes which are then used as the basis for calculating excavation and embankment as well as layers of road pavement as the total investment to be issued. The 59.29 Km route plan is used as material for discussion with stakeholders in terms of the construction of the Lake Toba coastal road from Bage to Sipolha. The scoring results with technical variables only serve as a supporting assessment of the continued development plan for road network sections along the shores of Lake Toba and not as a determinant of the feasibility value of a road section.

No	Indicator	Quality	Criteria		Grade	SCORE	
				0,5 - 0,6	1		
				0,6 - 0,7	2		
				0,7 -0,8	3		
				0,8-0,9	4		
1	Economic feasibility	200/	P/C P	0,9 -1,0	5	12	
1	Planned Traffic Characteristics	20%	B/C-R	1,0 - 1,1	6	12	
				1,1 -1,2	7		
				1,2 -1,3	8		
				1,3 -1,4	9		
				1,4 -1,5	10		
2	New Deth Evention	60/	Smooth Traffic		2-5	6	
2	2 New Path Function		Area Development		2-5	0	
	Social Environmental Impact	16%	Environmental Impact : Noise, Pollution, Water	Very good	2		
			Quality, Soil Degradation, etc	Good	4		
3				Currently	6	9,6	
			social impact, reviewed during pre-construction,	Bad	8		
			construction and post-construction	Very bad	10		
	Road Network Development		Likelihood of occurrence :	Big	10		
4		6%	1. Expansion of DAMIJA	Currently	7,5	6	
			2. The growing class of interconnection roads	Small	5	0	
			3. Additional district roads	There isn't any	2,5		
5	Planned Traffic Characteristics	12%	Design Speed (km/h)			9,6	

Table 2. Eligibility level

Adopted from SNI Pd T-19-2005-B, concerning Guidelines for the Feasibility Study of Roads and Bridges Source: Consultant Calculation Results for 2022

MATERIALS & METHODS

Data collection

The data collected in this study is divided into 2 types of data, namely:

Secondary Data

- a) Data on the total population, area, and division of administrative areas of the Regency Simalungunfrom BPS Simalungun Regency
- b) Simalungun Regency RTRW and RUTRK maps from BAPPEDA;
- c) Map of the Simalungun Regency Road network from the Public Works Service;
- d) The number of motorized vehicles in Simalungun Regency from Traffic Police of Simalungun District Police.

Primary data

The primary data in this study is data obtained from the implementation of the survey in a general way directly in the field, namely:

a. Inventory survey of roads and intersectionsb. Traffic enumeration survey (TC and CTMC)

c. Traffic enumeration survey (TC and CTMC)

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

ANALYSIS OF CURRENT STREET CONDITIONS IN 2020

1. Road Capacity

There are 5 roads around the study area, namely the Simalungun district area. The roads can be seen in the table below:

	Table 3. Road Sections in the Simalungun Area									
1.	TONGGING/ BATAS KARO - BAGE		STA	0+000 - 1+870						
2.	BAGE - HUTA IMBARU - GAOL		STA	1+870 - 11+540						
3.	HARANGGAOL - GAOL		STA	11+540 - 23+300						
4.	JALAN PERKOTAAN HARANGGAOL		STA	23+300 - 25+010						
5.	HARANGGAOL - SIMPANG SALBE		STA	25+010 - 42+670						
6.	SIMPANG SALBE - PELABUHAN TIGARAS		STA	42+670 - 45+230						
7.	PELABUHAN TIGARAS - TAMBUN REA		STA	45+230 - 50+100						
8.	TAMBUN REA - HUTA II SIPOLHA		STA	50+100 - 54+680						
9.	HUTA II SIPOLHA - SIPOLHA		STA	54+580 - 57+790						
10.	SIMPANG SIPOLHA - SIPOLHA		STA	57+790 - 59+290						

Table 3. Road Sections in the Simalungun Area1

2. Traffic Volume

Traffic volume on roads in the Simalungun Regency is the result of a classified traffic volume enumeration survey.

3. Proportion of Mode usage

From the results of household interviews that have been conducted, the percentage of mode selection for travel is obtained in the study area of Simalungun Regency, as shown in Table 4.

Table	4	Mode	Selection	Percentage
rabic		mout	Sciection	rereentage

						-	
No	2018	2019	2020	2021	Deviation	LP 2020 (%)	LP average
MP	3.892	2.742	2.320	2.345	25	1,08	
Bus	10	13	15	16	1	6,67	10/
Truck	1.123	1.090	931	1.064	133	14,29	1 %
Motorcycle	19.079	17.566	14.325	14.468	143	1,00	

Source: Consultant Calculation Results for 2022

DETERMINATION ANALYSIS OF TRASE ROADS

A. Route selection





b. Road Trace Planning

Hipsographic map with a scale of 1:250,000, to determine the road alignment and perform calculations of azimuth, bend angles and PI distances.



Figure 3. Graph of Azimuth Angle, PI Distance, and PI Angle c. Transportation Modeling

Transportation modeling analysis is used to carry out planning analysis using a four-step planning model to find out the estimated trips in the plan year (2021). The stages of the Four step model will be explained below: 1) Travel Revival

2) Travel Distribution

Sumber : Hasil Analisis, 2017

Table 5. Trace Selection Distribution									
Trase			STATIONING			Long			
		Section	Initial STA	Final STA	Location Description	(Km)			
		1	0 + 000	1 +870	TONGGING/ BATAS KARO - BAGE	1,87			
	2		1 + 870	11 + 540	BAGE - HUTA IMBARU - GAOL	9,67			
		3		23 + 300	HARANGGAOL - GAOL	11,76			
		4	23 + 300	25 + 010	JALAN PERKOTAAN HARANGGAOL	1,71			
Lalza Taba (logatel Dood	5	25 + 010	42 + 670	HARANGGAOL - SIMPANG SALBE	17,66			
Lake Toba C	oastal Road 6		42 + 670	45 + 230	SIMPANG SALBE - PELABUHAN TIGARAS	2,56			
		7	45 + 230	50 + 100	PELABUHAN TIGARAS - TAMBUN REA	4,87			
		8	50 + 100	54 + 580	TAMBUN REA - HUTA II SIPOLHA	4,58			
		9	54 + 580	57 + 790	HUTA II SIPOLHA - SIPOLHA	3,11			
		10	57 + 790	59 + 290	SIMPANG SIPOLHA - SIPOLHA	1,5			
TOTAL 59									

Source: Consultant Calculation Results for 2022

So the route chosen according to the criteria above is alternative route 2, when viewed from the criteria for determining the route.

d. Distance Calculation

e. Calculation of Transverse Slope

The terrain classification for this road is flat terrain, for collector roads with flat terrain classification in the 1997 TPGJAK it is stated that the maximum flat road slope for urban roads is 6%, so the planned road meets the requirements.

f. Horizontal Alignment Calculations

$$f_{max} = 0.153$$

$$R_{min} = \frac{60^2}{127(0.06 + 0.153)}$$

$$R_{min} = 133.08 m$$

$$D_{max} = \frac{181913.53 \ x(0.06 + 0.153)}{60^2}$$

$$D_{max} = 3.805$$

1) Determine Superelevation Bend Determine the superelevation at the Bend PI2:

$$D_d = \frac{1432,39}{Rd}$$
$$= \frac{1432,39}{135}$$
$$= 10,61^0$$
$$= \frac{-0,06x10,61^2}{10,76^2}$$
$$+ \frac{2x0,06x10,61}{10,76}$$
$$= 0.0583 = 5.8\%$$

2) Advanced Superelevation Calculation Calculation of Transitional Arch (Ls) Based on the maximum travel time (2 seconds) to cross the transitional arch, the length of the arch is:

$$Ls_{min} = \frac{60}{3,6} \times 2$$

= 33 m

g. Azimuth calculation

h. PI Angle Calculation

Based on the level of achievement of changes in slope. Ls is determined which meets the two criteria mentioned above, so the longest Ls value is chosen, namely 33 meters.

i. Calculation of Bend Measurements Lc < 20 meters -29.4 m < 20 meters Lc < 20 meters, with a speed (Vd = 60km/hour) then meets the S-S requirements (Spiral – Spiral).

The 2Ts value is more than the superelevation length (Ltotal), so it is eligible for S-S type bend.

j. Calculation of Pavement Widening at the PI Bend. With the information that the largest passing vehicle is a 2 axle truck.

Calculation: B = n(b' c) (n-1)Td+Z = 2(2.65+1)+(2-1)0.107+0.54 = 7.95 mAdditional width E = B-W = 7.95 - (2x3.5) = 0.95 mB > W

k. Side Freedom Calculation

Vertical Alignment Calculation

1. Calculation of Longitudinal Slope								
Plan SpeedSlope (%Critical Length (M								
(Km/jam)))						
	4	700						
100	5	500						
	6	400						
	5	600						
80	6	500						
	7	400						
	6	500						
60	7	400						
	8	300						

Table 6. Calculation of Longitudinal Slope 2. Calculation of Vertical Curvature: $\Delta = g2 - g1$ $\Delta = 2.56 - (-1.28)$ $\Delta = 3.84 \%$ Convenience terms Lv = V x tLv = 60 Km/hour x 2 secondsLv = 30 m $Ev = (\Delta x Lv)/800$ = (3.84 x 30)/800 = 0.144 m $Y = (\Delta x X2)/(200 x Lv) = (3.84 x X2)/(200$ x 30) = 0.00064 x X2m. PV2 vertical arc stationing: Sta A = Sta PVI2 $-\frac{1}{2}$ Lv $= (0+100) - \frac{1}{2} 30$ = 0 + 85Sta B = Sta PVI2 + $\frac{1}{2}$ Lv $= (0+100) + \frac{1}{2} 30$ = 0 + 115n. Vertical arch elevation Elevation A = Elevation PVI2 $-\frac{1}{2}$ Lv x g1 $= 100 - \frac{1}{2} 30 \times 1.28$ = 80.8 mElevation B = Elevation PVI1 + $\frac{1}{2}$ Lv x g1 $= 100 + \frac{1}{2} 30 \times 1.28$

= 119.2 m

RESULT

Calculation of road alignment planning above produce:

- 1. The length of the planned road from Simalungun is 358 meters.
- 2. There is one bend that meets the requirements of the S-S type with the bend length 33 meters, additional width at corners 0.95 meters.
- 3. The maximum horizontal slope on a flat road is 5.8%

BUDGET PLAN

According to the Decree of the Simalungun Regency Regent Number: 188.45/427/ADPEM/2014 dated 27 October 2016 concerning Standard Unit Price of Wages and Building Materials.

NT.		Lang (Var)	T (D	THE AMOUNT OF COSTS					
NO	Job description	Long (Km)	I reatment Plan	(Rp.)					
1	TONGGING/ BATAS KARO - BAGE	1,87	Reconstruction	9.009.000.000,00					
2	BAGE - HUTA IMBARU - GAOL	9,67	Reconstruction	46.586.000.000,00					
3	HARANGGAOL - GAOL	11,76	Reconstruction	56.654.000.000,00					
4	JALAN PERKOTAAN HARANGGAOL	1,71		-					
5	HARANGGAOL - SIMPANG SALBE	17,66	Reconstruction	60.990.000.000,00					
6	SIMPANG SALBE - PELABUHAN TIGARAS	2,56	Widening	9.954.000.000,00					
7	PELABUHAN TIGARAS - TAMBUN REA	4,87		-					
8	TAMBUN REA - HUTA II SIPOLHA	4,58		-					
9	HUTA II SIPOLHA - SIPOLHA	3,11		-					
10	SIMPANG SIPOLHA - SIPOLHA	1,5	Reconstruction	964.000.000,00					
			AMOUNT	184.157.000.000,00					
	Snelled: One Hundred Fighty Four Billion One Hundred Fifty Seven Million Runiah								

Table 7. Budget for Road Development

d: One Hundred Eighty Four Billion One Hundred Fifty Seven Million Rup Source: Consultant Calculation Results for 2022

CHARGING ANALYSIS IN 2016

The development of the road network model is used to describe the existing road network, both sections and in the Simalungun area,



Figure 4. The Road Network in Vissim in the Sikur – Paokmotong Area

a. Model validation with survey results
Traffic modeling at the traffic loading analysis stage above uses
the help of Vissim software.
Decision rule:
Determine the test criteria
H0 : accepted if X2 count < 11.07
H0 : rejected if X2 count > 11.07

Travel Cost Efficiency Analysis

Travel efficiency value, calculated from the value of time and travel costs in the form of consumption

The profit calculation is adjusted to the planned life of the road construction project, namely 20 years.

From the total efficiency calculation table above, it can be seen that the results of calculating the total efficiency of fuel use and the value of travel time each year get the total efficiency value until 2036 of Rp. 2,088,848,017.00.

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS (EXHAUST EMISSIONS)

In this study, the analysis of vehicle emission load calculations uses the method emission load calculation based on Minister of Environment Regulation No. 12 of 2012.

DISCUSSION

ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS a. Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

BCR =
$$\frac{PV Benefits}{PV Cost}$$

= 1,796,738,538
1,133,537,000
= 1.59

BCR value > 1. This means that the road development plan (missing link) Simalungun Regency is feasible to implement. To be able to see how far a the investment made can provide benefits/profits or not, it is necessary

b. Net Present Value (NPV)

Table 8. NPV for road construction in Simalungun District								
Economia Indicator Magnituda	Discount Rate							
Economic indicator Magintude	5%	10%	EIRR	15%	20%	25%	30%	
Net Present Value - NPV (Juta Rp)	-1.351	86.163	1.89	-113.987	-133.417	-146.489	-155.506	
Benefit Cost Ratio - BCR	0.76	1,71	1.07	0,43	0,32	0,24	0,19	
Economic Internal Rate of Return – EIRR (%)	13,5%							

NPV value > 0. This means that the road development plan (missing link) Simalungun Regency is feasible to implement.

c. Internal Rate Of Return (IRR)

Simalungun Regency has a prevailing interest rate of 12.03%. This reference is then used as a guideline for calculating the IRR NPV at a discount rate of 12% = + Rp.

173,508,272

NPV at a discount rate of 20% = + Rp. 289,180,453

NPV at a discount rate of 22% = + Rp. 318,098,498

IRR value > 12.3%. This means planning for the construction of a road (missing link) in Simalungun Regency is feasible to implement.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the data analysis that has been done, it can be concluded:

- 1. In the loading process with the help of the Vissim software, it is known that the performance of the road network in the Sikur-Paokmotong Area in current (2016)conditions and in planned conditions (2021), indicates that with a new connecting road (missing link) in the plan year have better road network performance.
- The efficiency of annual travel costs due to savings in travel time in 2036 is IDR 217,314,417.00. The cost efficiency of fuel consumption in 2036 is Rp. 1,871,533,600.00 . And the total efficiency of travel costs in 2036 is Rp. 2,088,848,017.00.
- 3. If you look at the economic feasibility requirements for accepting a project, that is, a project can be accepted if it has an NPV > 0, IRR > the applicable interest rate, and BCR > 1. Based on the results of the analysis, the planned road is deemed feasible to build because it has an NPV of IDR 1,445,902,264, the IRR is more than

the interest rate, which is 20.96% and the BCR is 1.

Declaration by Authors Acknowledgement: None Source of Funding: None Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2009 Tentang Lalu Lintas Dan Angkutan Jalan, Jakarta.
- 2. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 34 Tahun 2006 Tentang Jalan, Jakarta.Peraturan
- 3. Pemerintah Nomor 32 Tahun 2011 Tentang Manajemen dan Rekayasa, Analisis Dampak, serta Manajemen Kebutuhan Lalu Lintas, Jakarta.
- 4. Direktorat Jenderal Bina Marga Tentang Standar Perencanaan Geometrik Jalan Perkotaan Tahun 1992, Jakarta.
- 5. Tata Cara Perencanaan Geometrik Jalan AntarKota (TPGJAK) No038/T/BM/1997. Departemen Pekerjaan Umum.
- 6. Peraturan Menteri nomor 23 Tahun 2012 Tentang Baku Mutu Emisi Gas Buang Kendaraan, Jakarta.
- 7. Peraturan Daerah Kabupaten Lombok Timur Nomor 2 tahun 2012 Tentang Rencana Tata Ruang Kabupaten Lombok Timur, Lombok Timur.
- 8. Pola Umum Transportasi Darat Wilayah Kabupaten Lombok Timur. LaporanUmum Tim PKL Kabupaten Lombok TimurAngk.XXXV. STTD, Bekasi.
- 9. Agung Yana, A. A. G, 2013. Studi Kelayakan Jalan Tol Pangembangan – Pengrangon.
- Aji Saputra, Bayu. 2016. Perencanaan Trase Jalan antara Desa Weri sampai Desa NusalasiKabupaten Fakfak, Papua.
- 11. Aris Aprianoor, Mohammad. 2008. Analisis Kebutuhan dan Kelayakan Ekonomi Pembangunan Jalan Arteri Alternatif di KotaKandangan, Banjarmasin.

- 12. Black, J. And Connroy, M., 1977. Accesibility Indicators for Transport Planning, Koenig.
- 13. Black, John. 1981. Urban Transport Planning,London.
- 14. Budi, Yudian. 2010. Analisis Kelayakan Ekonomi, Bengkulu.
- 15. Istiyawan, Arief. 2010. Studi Kelayakan Pembangunan Jalan Lingkar Timur Mojosari Kabupaten Mojokerto, Mojokerto.
- Mahayana, Galib. 2015. Kajian Rencana Pembangunan Jalan Lingkar Pesisir Utara Terhadap Nilai Waktu dan Tingkat Aksesibilitas di Kota Bontang, Bontang.
- 17. Manual Kapasitas Jalan Indonesia, 1997.
- M. Saleh, Sofyan. 2009. Evaluasi Manfaat Pembangunan Jalan Raya Tupi – Paya Ilang Kota Takengon Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, Aceh.

- Oglesby, Clarkson dan Hick, Gary, 1993, Highway Engineering, Terjemahan PurwoSetianto, Teknik Jalan Raya edisi ke empat,Penerbit Airlangga, Jakarta.
- 20. Ortuzar, J. De D. And Willumsen, L.G, 1994. Modelling Transport, New York.
- 21. Standar Emisi Euro 5, 2008. Eropa.
- 22. Sutojo, Siswanto, 1997. Manajemen Terapan Bank, Jakarta. Pustaka Bhineka Presindo.
- 23. Tamin, Ofyar Z, 2008, Perencanaan,Permodelan dan Rekayasa Transportasi, ITB,Bandung

How to cite this article: Mahliza Nasution. Feasibility analysis of lake Toba coastal roads in Simalungun Regency. *International Journal of Research and Review*. 2023; 10(3): 312-321. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230336
